

Report n. 134

Asset Pricing with Endogenous  
Aspirations

Emilio Barucci, Maria Elvira Mancino

Pisa, Febbraio 1999

# Asset Pricing with Endogenous Aspirations

**Emilio Barucci**

Dipartimento di Statistica e Matematica applicata all'economia  
Università di Pisa.

**Maria Elvira Mancino**

DIMADEFAS  
Università di Firenze.

**Abstract**

**Keywords:** Habit, Aspiration, Asset Pricing, Malliavin calculus, Interest rate, Risk premium.

# 1 Introduction

One of the key point in economic analysis is the modelization of agents' preferences. Under risk, in a dynamic setting, the standard assumption is the time *Additive Expected Utility* (AEU). Given an utility function  $u$  related to the instantaneous consumption and a constant discount factor  $\beta$ , the additive expected utility in  $t = 0$  associated with an adapted consumption process  $c_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq T$ ) is given by

$$(1) \quad U(C) = \int_0^T e^{-\beta t} u(c_t) dt,$$

where  $C_t = \gamma_0 + \int_0^t c_s ds$ ,  $\gamma_0 > 0$ , denotes cumulative consumption.

This utility functional has been extensively criticized in the literature from many points of view. We have theoretical problems related to the decision theory literature (e.g. the Allais and the Ellsberg paradoxes, time separability, etc.) as well as empirical problems related to the asset pricing and to the macroeconomics literature (e.g. the equity premium puzzle, excess volatility, consumption data smoother than the theoretical process, etc.). In part of the literature, these problems have been addressed by changing the utility functional. In this direction, the time additivity is often removed by introducing durable consumption goods [Grossman and Laroque, 1990, Hindy and Huang, 1993], an endogenous discount factor [Uzawa, 1968] or by assuming that the instantaneous utility is a function of the habit which is a smoothed average of past consumption [Constantinides, 1990, Detemple and Zapatero, 1991, Detemple and Zapatero, 1992].

In this paper we relax the time additivity by introducing the classical *habit process* described by a smoothed average of past consumption. The peculiarity of our utility functional is that the instantaneous utility is a function of current consumption and of a process describing the agent's *aspiration*. The agent's aspiration at time  $t$  is a linear combination of the current habit (*backward* component) and of the conditional expectation of the habit at the end of the agent's life ( $T$ ) (*forward* component). Instantaneous utility from current consumption is negatively affected by the agent's aspiration. The aspiration can be interpreted as a *focal point* to evaluate current consumption: the higher is the aspiration, the lower is the utility from current consumption. On this interpretation see also the evolutionary games literature, where agents' decisions are often based on the comparison between the actual payoff and the aspiration which is given by a smoothed average of the experienced payoff (a process similar to the classical habit), e.g. see [Borgers and Sarin, 1996, Karandikar, Mookherjee, Ray, Vega-Redondo, 1997]. Referring to an aspiration process in-

stead of the pure habit-standard of living process, it is plausible to assume the presence of a forward component. With this component we capture the fact that the agent's aspiration and therefore his preferences are affected by what he experienced in the past and by what he expects in the future.

Different specifications of the aspiration process are presented. They depend on the coefficients of the linear combination of the aspiration process. If the two coefficients are positive, then we have a *backward-forward habit*: the standard of living as well as the expectation of the future standard of living negatively affect the instantaneous utility from consumption. If the coefficient associated with the standard of living is negative and the coefficient associated with the expectation of the standard of living at the end of the agent's life is positive then we have a *path dependent forward habit*: preferences are negatively affected by a weighted difference between what I expect to be my future standard of living and my standard of living today. In this case, expecting an increase in the standard of living, I receive a low utility from current consumption. If the sign of the two coefficients is reversed then the utility functional captures a sort of *regret effect*: utility from consumption is small if I expect a bad future, in this case I blame myself because I am consuming the goods today. On the other side, utility is high if I expect a good future.

We propose these utility functionals characterized by different agent's attitudes towards the future and the standard of living. We are not interested in establishing the most plausible from a behavioural point of view. We think they are all plausible, they simply capture different aspects of the agent's attitude towards the future and the standard of living. In particular, the last two specifications describe two different sentiments that an agent can have towards the future status compared to the today status. If the future is better than today then I can be depressed by my actual standard of living or I can be happy being optimistic.

The classical asset pricing equilibrium analysis in the spirit of [Lucas, 1978] is developed. We derive the Arrow-Debreu price process, the interest rate of equilibrium and the assets' risk premia. A habit formation process has been often invoked to address some of the problems encountered in the asset pricing literature assuming the standard AEU, e.g. the equity premium puzzle and the discrepancy between the theoretical and the observed consumption process, e.g. see [Constantinides, 1990, Detemple and Zapatero, 1991]. These topics are addressed in our framework as the specification of the aspiration process changes. We will show that the results obtained with a classical habit are not confirmed with a general aspiration process, it is not easy to evaluate the general equilibrium effects of an aspiration process

with a forward component. For a backward-forward habit we are able to establish that the risk premium is higher than the premium obtained with an AEU, in this case the forward component of the aspiration process amplifies the effect of the classical habit process helping us to resolve the equity premium puzzle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the economy and we discuss related literature. In Section 3 we present the utility functional with an endogenous aspiration process. In Section 4 we solve the optimal consumption problem in a one consumer economy. In Section 5 we develop the classical equilibrium analysis assuming that the representative agent is characterized by an endogenous aspiration process.

## 2 The Economy and Related Literature

We consider a standard pure exchange one consumer economy with complete markets. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space, on which a standard  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W$  is defined. The economy has a finite time horizon  $[0, T]$ .  $W$  determines the flow of information through its natural filtration augmented of the  $P$ -null sets and made right continuous  $(\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\})$ . We assume  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to be trivial.

We denote by

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = \{X : X \text{ is a predictable process such that } E(\int_0^T |X_s|^2 ds) < +\infty\},$$

and by  $\mathcal{L}_+^2$  the space of  $\mathcal{L}^2$  processes with values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

There are  $d + 1$  financial securities, which are continuously traded in frictionless markets. Their equilibrium prices are denoted by  $S^i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, d$ ). The 0-th security is the risk-free asset, its price is given by  $S_t^0 = s_0^0 \exp\{\int_0^t r_u du\}$ , where  $r_t$  is a strictly positive, progressively measurable bounded process and  $s_0^0 > 0$ . The  $d$ -dimensional vector of security prices  $S^\top = (S^1, \dots, S^d)$  (where  $\top$  denotes transpose) instead satisfies

$$dS_t = \bar{S}_t[\mu_t^S dt + \sigma_t^S dW_t], \quad S_0 = s_0, \quad \bar{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S^1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & S^d \end{pmatrix},$$

where the  $d$ -dimensional vector of mean returns  $\mu^S$  and the  $d \times d$  volatility matrix  $\sigma^S$  are bounded and progressively measurable and  $s_0^i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

Each security pays dividends, the cumulative dividends process of security  $i$  is denoted by  $D^i$ . The vector of cumulative dividends satisfies  $dD_t = \mu_t^D dt + \sigma_t^D dW_t$ , where  $\mu^D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$  and

$\sigma^D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  are bounded and progressively measurable. Lastly, the gain process is defined as  $G = S + D$ , where the sum is done component by component and therefore it is an Itô process ( $dG_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t$ ). The gain process can be written in return rates as follows  $\bar{S}_t[\mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t]$ . Let  $\sigma_t$  be invertible.

In a complete markets economy there exists a unique equivalent martingale measure. The so called the *risk-neutral probability measure* given by

$$(2) \quad Q(A) = E[\psi_T 1_A], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_T,$$

$$(3) \quad \psi_t = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \lambda_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\lambda_s\|^2 ds\right\}$$

where

$$\lambda_t = \sigma_t^{-1}[\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1}], \quad \mathbf{1} = \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_d$$

denotes the market price of risk. Assuming no arbitrage, the discounted gain from trade is a martingale under  $Q$ .

The density  $\psi$  can be interpreted as the equilibrium price density of a *one consumer economy*. The agent is described by a pair  $(U, e)$ , where  $U : \mathcal{L}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a utility function and  $e \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$  is an endowment process. Finally by  $c \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$  we denote the consumption process.

A portfolio process or trading strategy,  $\pi \equiv (\pi^0, \bar{\pi}) = (\pi^0, \pi^1, \dots, \pi^d)$ , is a measurable, square integrable adapted process, where the  $i$ -th component represents the amount of money invested by the agent in the  $i$ -th asset.

**Definition 2.1 :** We say that a pair of consumption and portfolio process,  $(c, \pi)$ , for the representative agent is **admissible**, if it satisfies the budget constraint

$$dX_t = (r_t X_t + e_t - c_t)dt + \bar{\pi}_t(\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1})dt + \bar{\pi}_t \sigma_t dW_t, \quad X_0 = 0, \quad X_T \geq 0,$$

where  $X$  represents the agent's wealth. An admissible pair  $(c, \pi)$  is **optimal** if there is no other admissible pair  $(c', \pi')$  such that  $U(c') > U(c)$ .

**Definition 2.2 :** A triple  $(S, c, \pi)$  is called an **equilibrium** if  $(c, \pi)$  is optimal, given the price processes  $S$  and the market clearing conditions  $c_t = e_t$  (consumption good market) and  $\pi_t = 0$  (securities market) are satisfied for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

The *Additive Expected Utility* defined in (1) has been challenged in the literature by other utility functionals. Concentrating our attention on the utility functionals removing only the time separability we have the following:

- *Habit formation* [Constantinides, 1990, Detemple and Zapatero, 1991]:

$$U(C) = E\left(\int_0^T e^{\beta s} u(c_s, y_s) ds\right),$$

$$y_t = y_0 e^{-\int_0^t \alpha_u du} + \delta_t \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t \alpha_u du} c_s ds, \quad y_0 > 0.$$

- *Uzawa utility function* (time varying discount factor):

$$U(C) = E\left(\int_0^T e^{\int_0^t \beta(c_s) ds} u(c_s) ds\right),$$

- *Durable consumption goods* [Hindy and Huang, 1993]:

$$U(C) = E\left(\int_0^T e^{-\beta t} u\left(\int_0^t k_{t-s} c_s ds\right) dt\right),$$

where  $k$  is a progressively measurable bounded process.

The utility obtained from consumption at time  $t$  is related to the past through the agent's habit or capital goods durability. In the habit formation setting the instantaneous utility is negatively affected by the agent's habit. The behavioural foundation of this utility functional is that the agent becomes accustomed to a certain standard of living and therefore current consumption is compared to it. As a consequence, the larger is the habit the smaller is the utility obtained from consumption. This interpretation is reinforced by thinking about the linear utility function proposed in [Constantinides, 1990]:  $u(c, y) = v(c - y)$  for  $c \geq y$  and  $u(c, y) = -\infty$  for  $y > c$ .

The asset pricing analysis with habit formation is provided in many papers, e.g. see [Constantinides, 1990, Detemple and Zapatero, 1991, Detemple and Giannikos, 1996]. Under some conditions and for some specifications of the instantaneous utility function, two main results have been obtained: the equity risk premium is higher than the one obtained with an AEU and the optimal consumption process is smoother than the one obtained with an AEU.

### 3 Utility with an aspiration process

Let us assume that the agent's preferences are represented by the following system:

$$(4) \quad V_t = E\left[\int_t^T (u(c_s, z_s) - \beta_s V_s) ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

$$(5) \quad y_t = y_0 e^{-\int_0^t \alpha_u du} + \delta_t \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t \alpha_u du} c_s ds$$

$$(6) \quad z_t = \eta_t y_t + \mu_t E[y_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t] =$$

$$\eta_t(y_0 e^{-\int_0^t \alpha_u du} + \delta_t \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t \alpha_u du} c_s ds) + \mu_t E[y_0 e^{-\int_0^T \alpha_u du} + \delta_T \int_0^T e^{-\int_s^T \alpha_u du} c_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t],$$

where  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$  are bounded and positive adapted processes,  $\eta, \mu$  are bounded adapted processes.  $y_0$  is a positive constant. The triple of processes  $(V_t, y_t, z_t)$  describes the *utility*, the *habit* and the *aspiration* at time  $t$ .  $V_t$  is the conditional expected utility at time  $t$  associated with the consumption process  $(c_{t+s} \ s \geq 0)$ .  $y_t$  is a smoothed average of past consumption, it represents a proxy of the agent's *standard of living*.  $z_t$  is the agent's aspiration affecting instantaneous utility from  $c_t$ .

The idea behind this formalization is that the agent's aspiration at time  $t$  is influenced by the past and by what he expects to be his future. The dependence of the instantaneous utility gathered from consumption at time  $t$  on the standard of living  $y_t$  is motivated by the argument that a high standard of living induces a small utility from consumption because the agent is accustomed to that standard of living. The same argument can be used to introduce the conditional expectation of the future standard of living: instantaneous utility is affected by what I expect to be my future standard of living. We restrict our attention to an exponentially discounted utility process ( $\beta_t$  does not depend on  $c$  or  $y$ ). Our analysis can be extended to an endogenous discount factor in the spirit of the Uzawa utility function with some computational costs. The analysis can also be extended to utility functionals such that the instantaneous utility is a function of the habit and of the expected habit, independently. Also more complex habit formation processes can be considered.

The following Assumption is done on the instantaneous utility function.

**Assumption 3.1**  $u(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is twice continuously differentiable, strictly increasing and strictly concave in  $c$ , strictly decreasing in  $z$ , and concave in the couple. Moreover  $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} u_1(c, z) \leq \infty \ \forall z \in \mathfrak{R}_+$  and  $\lim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(c, z) = 0 \ \forall z \in \mathfrak{R}_+$ .

The two processes  $\mu_t$  and  $\eta_t$  describe the forward-backward characterization of the aspiration. The following cases are of some interest:

- $\mu_t = 0, \eta_t > 0 \ \forall t \geq 0$ . *Pure backward habit* analyzed in [Constantinides, 1990] and [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991, Detemple and Giannikos, 1996];
- $\eta_t = 0, \mu_t > 0 \ \forall t \geq 0$ . *Pure forward habit*: the aspiration at time  $t$  is simply given by what the agent expects to be his standard of living at the end of his life;
- $\eta_t > 0$  and  $\mu_t > 0 \ \forall t \geq 0$ . *Backward-forward habit*: the aspiration is an increasing and linear function of the two components;



- $\eta_t < 0$  and  $\mu_t > 0 \forall t \geq 0$ . *Path dependent forward habit*: the aspiration is given by the weighted difference between the conditional expectation of the standard of living at the end of the agent's life and of the contemporaneous standard of living;
- $\eta_t \geq 0$  and  $\mu_t < 0 \forall t \geq 0$ . *Regret habit*: the regret effect works as follows, if the conditional expectation of the standard of living is smaller than the standard of living today then I blame myself.

The first habit specification is the classical one. Instantaneous utility from consumption is negatively affected by the standard of living which is a smoothed average of past consumption. The second specification can be interpreted as follows. The agent looks forward to the expected standard of living at the end of his life, this expectation provides the agent with a reference value to evaluate the instantaneous consumption. The expected standard of living plays the role of the classical habit, the difference is that the agent compares consumption to the future standard of living instead of the current standard of living. The third specification is probably the most plausible: the aspiration at time  $t$  is a weighted positive linear combination of the standard of living at time  $t$ , which is related to consumption before time  $t$ , and of the expectation of the standard of living at time  $T$  which is related to future consumption. The fourth specification is a variant of the second one: utility at time  $t$  is negatively affected by the weighted difference between the expected standard of living at time  $T$  and the standard living at time  $t$ . As the agent expects a better status in the future than the today status, he is more demanding and therefore he dislikes his actual consumption level. The last specification of the aspiration process captures the opposite effect. The expectation of a better status increases the utility from consumption. The behavioural foundation for this sentiment is a sort of *regret effect* well documented and studied in the decision theory literature: as I expect a worse status in the future, I blame myself because I am consuming the goods today. The interpretation of the last two specifications is straightforward considering two constants with modulus equal to 1 for  $\mu_t$  and  $\eta_t$ .

Thanks to Assumption 3.1, given a consumption process  $c \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$ , the existence of a triple  $(V_t, y_t, z_t)$  is assured. Following [Duffie and Epstein, 1992], it is easy to show that the utility functional is concave in  $c$ . A similar utility functional has been proposed in [Antonelli et al., 1998], where a Backward-Forward Stochastic Differential Utility function in the spirit of [Duffie and Epstein, 1992] has been introduced: the habit is a smoothed convex linear combination of past consumption and of past expected utility. In that setting the forward component is represented by the smoothed average of the conditional expected utility instead of the conditional expected habit.

## 4 Optimal Consumption

The optimal consumption problem can be handled via dynamic optimization techniques or via the martingale method, see [Cox and Huang, 1989, Cox and Huang, 1991]. Here we follow this second approach which seems the more appropriate for our utility functional.

The optimal consumption problem for the representative agent is equivalent to the following constrained static maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{C, \pi} U(C) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ & E^* \left( \int_0^T e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} c_t dt \right) \leq E^* \left( \int_0^T e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} e_t dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $E^*$  denotes expectation under the equivalent martingale measure nested in the financial market model:  $E^*(\cdot) = E(\psi_T \cdot)$ .  $\psi$  is defined by (2) and  $U(C) = V_0$ , where  $V_0$  is the solution in  $t = 0$  of  $V_t$  defined in (4)-(6). To simplify the analysis we assume  $\beta_t, \alpha_t, \delta_t$  to be constant.

We further specify our setting by assuming that the endowment process is given by

$$(7) \quad de_t = \tilde{\mu}_t^e dt + \tilde{\sigma}_t^e dW_t,$$

with Lipschitz and predictable coefficients  $\tilde{\mu}_t^e$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_t^e$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and initial given positive condition ( $e_0 > 0$ ).

First of all we characterize the gradient of the Utility function. In a one consumer economy the Arrow-Debreu equilibrium price process is characterized through the Gateaux derivative of  $U(C)$  and its Riesz representation evaluated along the endowment process, see [Duffie and Skiadas, 1994a]. Given a reference pair of cumulative consumption and trading strategy,  $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{C})$ , and a set  $F$  of feasible directions, the Gateaux derivative of  $U(C)$  at  $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{C})$  is defined as the functional

$$\nabla U(\bar{C}; C) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(\bar{C} + \alpha C) - U(\bar{C})}{\alpha}, \quad C \in F.$$

Its Riesz representation  $\gamma_t$  is defined as follows:

$$\nabla U(\bar{C}; C) = E \left( \int_0^T (c_t - \bar{c}_t) \gamma_t dt \right).$$

We observe that the Gateaux derivative of  $z_t$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla z_t(\bar{C}; C) &= \eta_t \nabla Y_t(\bar{C}; C) + \mu_t E[\nabla Y_T(\bar{C}; C) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \\ &= \eta_t \delta \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} c_s ds + \mu_t \delta E \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} c_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Gateaux derivative of  $U(C)$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla U(\bar{C}; C) &= E\left[\int_0^T u_1(c_t, z_t) c_t e^{-\beta t} + \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-\beta t} u_2(c_t, z_t) [\eta_t \delta \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} c_s ds + \mu_t \delta E[\int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} c_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t]] dt\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The interpretation of the three terms is straightforward. The first one is related to the instantaneous marginal utility, the second and the third components come from the aspiration process. The second component is due to the backward component of the aspiration, the third component comes from the forward component. After some computations, using the Fubini Theorem we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla U(\bar{C}; C) &= E\left[\int_0^T u_1(c_t, z_t) e^{-\beta t} c_t dt\right] + \delta E\left[\int_0^T \eta_t E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) e^{-\alpha(s-t)} ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] c_t dt\right] + \\ &+ \delta E\left[\int_0^T \mu_t e^{-\alpha(T-t)} E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] c_t dt\right] + \delta E\left[\int_0^T \mu_t e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \int_0^t e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) ds c_t dt\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The Riesz representation of the utility gradient evaluated along the consumption process  $c_t$  has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t &= u_1(c_t, z_t) e^{-\beta t} + \eta_t \delta E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) e^{-\alpha(s-t)} ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] + \\ &+ \mu_t \delta E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} e^{-\alpha(T-t)} u_2(c_s, z_s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] + \mu_t \delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \int_0^t e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The interpretation of the four terms is straightforward. Remember that the agent is characterized by rational expectations, therefore he is able to evaluate the effect of an increase in consumption at time  $t$  on the instantaneous utility at every time  $s \in [0, T]$ . An increase in consumption induces a marginal instantaneous utility  $u_1(c_t, z_t) e^{-\beta t}$  and a disutility-utility related to the aspiration process which is made up of a backward ( $y_t$ ) and of a forward component ( $E[y_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$ ). Because of the backward component of the aspiration process, an increase in consumption at time  $t$  causes a disutility in the future associated with the induced effect on the future standard of living ( $y_{t+s}$   $s \geq 0$ ). The discounted value of this effect at time  $t$  is  $E[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) e^{-\alpha(s-t)} ds | \mathcal{F}_t]$ . The forward component of the aspiration process induces a disutility associated with past consumption as well as to future consumption. The first component is  $e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \int_0^t e^{-\beta s} u_2(c_s, z_s) ds$ , the second is  $E[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} e^{-\alpha(T-t)} u_2(c_s, z_s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t]$ . The sign of the last three components is related to  $\eta_t, \mu_t$  and therefore to the specification of the aspiration process described in the previous Section. Note that for the first specification of the process  $z_t$  we end up with the expression obtained in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991].

Being  $\alpha > 0$ , the conditional expectation component of the second term in  $\gamma_t$  is larger in modulus than the conditional expectation component of the third term. Therefore, the effect in the future utility due to the backward component is larger in absolute value than the effect of the forward component.

To prove existence of a solution of the optimal consumption problem for the representative agent we follow the approach in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1992]. Let Assumption 3.1 hold, denote by  $\zeta_s$  the monetary cost of marginal consumption ( $u_1(c_t, z_t)e^{-\beta t}$ ) augmented by the effects due to the aspiration process:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_t = & \rho\gamma_t - \eta_t\delta E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s}u_2(c_s, z_s)e^{-\alpha(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]+ \\ & -\mu_t\delta E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s}e^{-\alpha(T-t)}u_2(c_s, z_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right] - \mu_t\delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)}\int_0^t e^{-\beta s}u_2(c_s, z_s)ds \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho$  is a positive constant.

Let  $I(\zeta_t, z_t)$  denote the inverse of  $u_1(c_t, z_t)e^{-\beta t}$  with respect to  $c_t$  and  $I(\zeta_t, z_t)^+ = \max\{0, I(\zeta_t, z_t)\}$ . By the concavity of  $u$  we say that  $c_s^* = I^+(\zeta_s, z_s)$  is optimal if  $(\zeta_s, z_s)$  is the solution of the following system

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_t = & \rho^*\gamma_t - \eta_t\delta E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s}u_2(I^+(\zeta_s, z_s), z_s)e^{-\alpha(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]+ \\ & -\mu_t\delta E\left[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s}e^{-\alpha(T-t)}u_2(I^+(\zeta_s, z_s), z_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right] - \mu_t\delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)}\int_0^t e^{-\beta s}u_2(I^+(\zeta_s, z_s), z_s)ds \end{aligned}$$

$$(9)z_t = \eta_t(y_0e^{-\alpha t} + \delta\int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)}I^+(\zeta_s, z_s)ds) + \mu_t E[y_0e^{-\alpha T} + \delta\int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)}I^+(\zeta_s, z_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

and  $\rho^*$  is determined in order to satisfy the budget constraint in the consumption problem.

The approach employed in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1992] is in two steps. First, fixed  $\rho$ , we have to show that a solution exists for the system (8)-(9), then we have to show that a value of  $\rho$  exists such that the budget constraint is satisfied. The system (8)-(9) is similar to the one obtained with a pure backward habit in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1992], therefore we can adapt their techniques. Restricting our attention to an instantaneous utility function such that  $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} u_1(c, z) = \infty \forall z \in \mathfrak{R}_+$  it is enough to assume the following, see [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991].

**Assumption 4.1**  $I(\zeta, z)$  and  $u_2(I(\zeta, z), z)$  satisfy Lipschitz and growth conditions with respect to  $z$  and  $\zeta \forall \zeta > 0$  and  $\forall z \in [\eta_t y_0 e^{-\alpha T}, \infty)$ . For  $\bar{\zeta} > 0$  denote by  $z(\bar{\zeta})_t$  the process solution of (9) with  $\zeta_s = \bar{\zeta}$ ,  $s \in [0, T]$ , we require that  $\lim_{\bar{\zeta} \rightarrow 0} u_2(I(\bar{\zeta}, z(\bar{\zeta})), z) = 0$ .

This Assumption guarantees existence of a solution of the consumption problem. Considering the more general setting described above with a marginal utility from consumption bounded from above then we can still prove existence of the optimal consumption plan by adapting the conditions in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1992].

## 5 Equilibrium Analysis

Let  $z_t^e$  be the solution of (9) with  $c_t = e_t$ , i.e.,

$$z_t^e = \eta_t(y_0 e^{-\alpha t} + \delta_t \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} e_s ds) + \mu_t E[y_0 e^{-\alpha T} + \delta_T \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} e_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

To ensure that the equilibrium price process is well behaved we impose the following Assumption.

**Assumption 5.1** *Let the following conditions be satisfied by the endowment process and the instantaneous utility:*

- $$u_1(e_t, z_t^e) + \eta_t \delta E[\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)(s-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s^e) ds | \mathcal{F}_t] +$$
- $$\mu_t \delta E[\int_t^T e^{-\beta(s-t)} e^{-\alpha(T-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s^e) ds | \mathcal{F}_t] + \mu_t \delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \int_0^t e^{-\beta(s-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s^e) ds > 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$
- $e_t \gg 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$

Assumption 5.1 ensures that the price process is positive and that the uniform properness of preferences holds.

Let  $\xi_t = e^{\beta t - \int_0^t r_s ds} \psi_t$  be the Arrow-Debreu price process adjusted by the preference discount factor. Considering a one consumer complete markets economy in equilibrium ( $c_t = e_t$ ) we have that the process  $\gamma_t$  characterizes the Arrow-Debreu price process:

$$(10) \quad \xi_t = u_1(e_t, z_t^e) + \eta_t \delta E[\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)(s-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s^e) ds | \mathcal{F}_t] +$$

$$+ \mu_t \delta E[\int_t^T e^{-\beta(s-t)} e^{-\alpha(T-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s^e) ds | \mathcal{F}_t] + \mu_t \delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \int_0^t e^{-\beta(s-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s^e) ds.$$

The interpretation of the four components of the Arrow-Debreu prices process is the one for the process  $\gamma_t$  in the last Section. The Arrow-Debreu price process reflects the different effects of marginal consumption on the utility.

Let us analyze now how the different specifications of the aspiration process affect the Arrow-Debreu price process. We recall that considering an agent characterized by an AEU we

have that the price process is simply given by the marginal utility. For a pure backward habit ( $\mu_t = 0, \eta_t > 0$ ) we have the characterization of the Arrow-Debreu price process obtained in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991]. The first three specifications of the aspiration process have similar consequences on the Arrow-Debreu price process: the backward as well as the forward component of the aspiration process associate a disutility to current consumption decisions and therefore the equilibrium price process is smaller than the instantaneous marginal utility from consumption. *Ceteris paribus*, the price process is smaller than the one obtained with an AEU.

The effect of a Path dependent forward habit and of a Regret habit on the equilibrium price is controversial. It depends on the magnitude of  $\eta_t$  and  $\mu_t$ , in what follows to evaluate it we restrict our attention to the case  $|\eta_t| = |\mu_t| \forall t \in [0, T]$ . Considering a Path dependent forward habit ( $\eta_t < 0, \mu_t > 0$ ) we have that the sum of the second and of the third component is positive, whereas the fourth component is negative. The component regarding consumption in the future increases the price process, whereas the effect due to past consumption reduces the price process. Considering a Regret habit ( $\eta_t > 0, \mu_t < 0$ ) we have that the sum of the second and of the third component is negative, whereas the fourth component is positive. The component associated with future consumption reduces the price process, whereas the effect due to past consumption increases the price process.

The interpretation of the last two Arrow-Debreu price process characterizations is as follows. Assuming a path dependent forward habit we have that the instantaneous utility is negatively affected by the weighted difference between the expected future standard of living and the present standard of living, this feature implies that an increase in consumption today reduces (being  $\alpha > 0$ ) the aspiration and therefore it has a positive effect on future utility, whereas the effect through the forward component on the utility of past consumption is negative. Assuming a regret habit we have that the instantaneous utility is positively affected by the weighted difference between the future standard of living and the actual standard of living, this feature implies that an increase in consumption today increases the aspiration and therefore it has a negative effect on future utility and a positive effect on the utility of past consumption.

We have assumed that the instantaneous utility is a decreasing function of the aspiration, in what follows we will assume somewhere that  $u_{12} < 0$ . Considering the classical habit process, this assumption means that the utility function shows complementarity between habit and consumption, i.e., marginal utility of consumption falls as the standard of living rises. This effect can be explained by assuming complementarity for nearby consumption,

i.e., consumption at time  $t$  is a good substitute of consumption at time  $t + \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon > 0$ ). The same interpretation can be given in our setting with respect to the aspiration process: consumption, past consumption and expected consumption are complementary.

The Arrow-Debreu price process contains many interesting pieces of information. In what follows we assume  $\eta_t$ ,  $\mu_t$  to be constant ( $\eta, \mu$ ). To simplify the analysis and the notation we assume that the endowment process satisfies the following equation

$$de_t = e_t(\mu_t^e dt + \sigma_t^e dW_t)$$

with  $\mu_t^e$  and  $\sigma_t^e$  deterministic.

Denote by  $u(t)$  the instantaneous utility evaluated along the endowment process  $e_t$ , i.e.,  $u(e_t, z_t^e)$ , and adopt the same notation for the partial derivatives. The following Proposition can be stated about the equilibrium interest rate  $r_t$  and the market prices for risk.

**Proposition 5.1** *The equilibrium interest rate  $r_t$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} r_t = & \beta - (\xi_t)^{-1} [u_{11}(t)e_t\mu_t^e + \frac{1}{2}u_{111}(t)(\sigma_t^e)^2 e_t^2 + u_{12}(t)\eta(\delta e_t - \alpha y_t) - \eta\delta u_2(t) + \\ & \eta\delta(\alpha + \beta)E[\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)(s-t)}u_2(s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t] + \frac{\mu^2}{2}u_{122}(t)\delta^2(\sigma_t^e)^2 E[\int_t^T (e_r - \alpha \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)}e_u du)dr|\mathcal{F}_t]^2 \\ & + \mu\delta(\alpha + \beta)e^{-\alpha(T-t)}E[\int_0^T e^{-\beta(s-t)}u_2(s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t]]. \end{aligned}$$

*The asset risk premia are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t - r_t = & -\sigma_t(\xi_t)^{-1}\sigma_t^e(u_{11}(t)e_t + u_{12}(t)\mu\delta E[\int_t^T (e_r - \alpha \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)}e_u du)dr|\mathcal{F}_t] + \\ & + \mu\delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)}e^{\beta t}E[\int_t^T e^{-\beta s}u_{21}(s)e_s + e^{-\beta s}u_{22}(s)(\eta\delta \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)}e_u du + \\ & + \mu\delta E[\int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)}e_u du|\mathcal{F}_s])ds|\mathcal{F}_t] + \eta\delta e^{(\beta+\alpha)t}E[\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s}u_{21}(s)e_s + \\ & + e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s}u_{22}(s)(\eta\delta \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)}e_u du + \mu\delta E[\int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)}e_u du|\mathcal{F}_s])ds|\mathcal{F}_t]). \end{aligned}$$

The general equilibrium analysis of the interest rate and of the market prices of risk is not an easy task. Note that the characterization illustrated in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991] is obtained with  $\mu = 0$ .

The first two components of the equilibrium interest rate correspond to those obtained with an AEU, they are related to the instantaneous utility. Ceteris paribus, the interest

rate is positively related to the expected growth in consumption ( $u_{11} < 0$ ) and negatively related (when  $u_{111} > 0$ ) to the variance in consumption. The third component associates consumption with the aspiration process, its effect depends on the sign of  $u_{12}$  and on the time derivative of the habit. If the utility function exhibits complementarity ( $u_{12} \leq 0$ ) and the habit is going up at time  $t$ , then we observe an increase in the interest rate. The explanation of this effect is as follows: an increase in the growth of the aspiration process depresses the Arrow-Debreu prices, this is followed by an increase in the interest rate. The fourth and the fifth components are due to the backward component of the habit, they represent the drift portion of the Arrow-Debreu price process due to the drift in the marginal utility-disutility of future standards of living associated with the backward component of the aspiration process. The last two components come from the forward component of the aspiration process, they represent the drift in the marginal utility-disutility of past and future standards of living associated with the forward component of the aspiration process. The general equilibrium interpretation of the interest rate in equilibrium is similar to the one in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991].

The sign and the magnitude of the components due to the disutility-utility of standards of living (backward and forward) depend on the aspiration process specification. Considering a backward-forward habit we have that both the two components boost the interest rate. The effect of the classical habit is confirmed with a forward habit and is amplified with a backward-forward habit. Considering a path dependent forward habit or a regret habit we can not establish such a general result, the arguments illustrated above about the Arrow-Debreu prices apply also here.

Being the coefficients of the endowment process deterministic, we have that a single beta consumption CAPM holds as in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991]:

$$\mu_t - r_t \mathbf{1} = -\beta^e \text{cov}(dG_t/S_t, de_t),$$

where the coefficient  $\beta^e$  is easily determined from Proposition 5.1.

It is difficult to assess the general equilibrium effects of an endogenous aspiration process on the asset risk premia. For a general endogenous aspiration process we can not establish a result about the risk premium as in the case of the classical habit process. Assuming a backward-forward habit we can state the following Proposition which is similar to [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991, Proposition 6.2].

**Proposition 5.2** *Let  $\mu \geq 0$ ,  $\eta \geq 0$ . If preferences exhibit complementarity ( $u_{12} \leq 0$ ) and the*



*coefficient of absolute risk aversion is nondecreasing with respect to  $z$  then the endogenous aspiration process increases the risk premia.*

Restricting our attention to the class of utility function considered in the above Proposition and assuming a backward-forward habit we are able to establish that the risk premium with an endogenous aspiration process is higher than the risk premium obtained with an AEU. This result reinforces the attempts to solve the equity premium puzzle through the agent's habit. Note that this result is not confirmed by inserting the expected utility in the aspiration process instead of the expected habit, see [Antonelli et al., 1998].

Assuming a more general Itô process as in (7) for the endowment process then we will obtain a two Beta consumption CAPM as pointed out in [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991]. The second Beta will be related to the marginal utility-disutility of standards of living induced by stochastic shifts in the coefficients of the endowment process. Conditions for a single beta consumption CAPM similar to [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991, Proposition 6.1] can be established.

Considering the linear utility function  $u(c, z) = v(c - z)$  for  $c \geq z$  and  $u(c, z) = -\infty$  for  $z > c$  we need to strengthen Assumption (5.1) to the following:  $e_t \gg z_t^e \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$ . In this setting the interpretation of the equilibrium interest rate and of the market prices of risk is more precise. We have that  $u_{12} = -v'' > 0$  (the utility function does not show complementarity) and  $u_{122} = v'''$ . This fact implies that if  $v''' > 0$ , then the third and the sixth component boost the equilibrium interest rate.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a utility functional characterized by the presence of an endogenous aspiration process. The agent's aspiration is a linear combination of the agent's standard of living and of what the agent expects to be the standard of living at the end of his life. Instantaneous utility is negatively affected by the agent's aspiration. This utility functional captures the fact that the agent's preferences in an intertemporal setting can be affected by what he expects for the future and by what he plans to do in the future.

Depending on the coefficients of the linear combination of the aspiration process, different specifications of the aspiration process have been discussed: *Pure backward habit*, *Pure forward habit*, *Backward-forward habit*, *Path dependent forward habit*, *Regret habit*. These specifications reflect different attitudes of the agent towards the future standard of living. In particular, the backward-forward habit assumes that the agent's instantaneous utility at time

$t$  is negatively affected by the standard of living at that time and by the expected standard of living. With this aspiration process we have shown that under some conditions the risk premium is higher than the one obtained with an AEU and therefore a backward-forward habit provides an explanation to the Equity premium puzzle. However, as a conclusion, we can say that the general equilibrium effects of an endogenous aspiration process are not clearly cut as those obtained with the classical habit process.

## A Proof of Proposition 5.1

To obtain the equilibrium interest rate and the market price of risk, we have to differentiate both sides in (10).

Denote by

$$M_t = E\left[\int_0^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s} u_2(e_s, z_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \quad G_t = \int_0^t e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s} u_2(e_s, z_s) ds,$$

then

$$E\left[\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)(s-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} (M_t - G_t).$$

Moreover let

$$\widetilde{M}_t = E\left[\int_0^T e^{-\beta s} u_2(e_s, z_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right],$$

then

$$E\left[e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \int_0^T e^{-\beta(s-t)} u_2(e_s, z_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = e^{-\alpha(T-t)} e^{\beta t} \widetilde{M}_t.$$

Differentiating the right side in (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & du_1(e_t, z_t) + \eta \delta d(e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} (M_t - G_t)) + \mu \delta d(e^{-\alpha(T-t)} e^{\beta t} \widetilde{M}_t) = \\ & = du_1(e_t, z_t) + \eta \delta (\alpha + \beta) e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} (M_t - G_t) dt + \eta \delta e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} d(M_t - G_t) + \\ (11) \quad & + \mu \delta (\alpha + \beta) e^{-\alpha(T-t)} e^{\beta t} \widetilde{M}_t dt + \mu \delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)} e^{\beta t} d\widetilde{M}_t \end{aligned}$$

We first compute  $du_1(e_t, z_t)$ . We have that

$$de_t = e_t (\mu_t^e dt + \sigma_t^e dW_t)$$

$$dz_t = \eta dy_t + \mu d(E[y_T | \mathcal{F}_t]).$$

$y_t$  can be written as  $y_0 + \int_0^t \delta e_s - \alpha y_s ds$ . Then

$$E[y_T | \mathcal{F}_t] = y_0 + E\left[\int_0^T \delta e_s - \alpha y_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right].$$

In order to differentiate this term we use Clark-Ocone formula, see [Ocone and Karatzas, 1991]. ■

Denote  $F = \int_0^T \delta e_s - \alpha y_s ds$ , then

$$D_s F = \int_s^T \delta D_s e_r - \alpha D_s y_r dr,$$

$$D_s e_r = e_r \sigma_s^e$$

and

$$D_s y_r = \int_s^r \delta D_s e_u - \alpha D_s y_u du = \int_s^r \delta e_u \sigma_s^e - \alpha D_s y_u du.$$

Therefore

$$D_s y_r = \delta \sigma_s^e \int_s^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du.$$

It follows that

$$d(E[y_T | \mathcal{F}_t]) = \delta \sigma_t^e E \left[ \int_t^T (e_r - \alpha \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du) dr | \mathcal{F}_t \right] dW_t.$$

By Itô formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} du_1(e_t, z_t) &= u_{11}(e_t, z_t) de_t + u_{12}(e_t, z_t) dz_t + \frac{1}{2} u_{111}(e_t, z_t) (\sigma_t^e)^2 e_t^2 dt + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} u_{122}(e_t, z_t) \mu^2 \delta^2 (\sigma_t^e)^2 E \left[ \int_t^T (e_r - \alpha \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du) dr | \mathcal{F}_t \right]^2 dt = \\ &= (u_{11}(e_t, z_t) e_t \mu_t^e + u_{12}(e_t, z_t) \eta (\delta e_t - \alpha y_t) + \frac{1}{2} u_{111}(e_t, z_t) (\sigma_t^e)^2 e_t^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} u_{122}(e_t, z_t) \mu^2 \delta^2 (\sigma_t^e)^2 (E \left[ \int_t^T (e_r - \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du) dr | \mathcal{F}_t \right])^2) dt \\ &+ (u_{11}(e_t, z_t) e_t \sigma_t^e + u_{12}(e_t, z_t) \mu \delta \sigma_t^e E \left[ \int_t^T (e_r - \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du) dr | \mathcal{F}_t \right]) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

We compute now  $d\widetilde{M}_t$ . Let  $H = \int_0^T e^{-\beta s} u_2(e_s, z_s) ds$ . Then using Clark-Ocone formula we have:

$$d\widetilde{M}_t = E[D_t H | \mathcal{F}_t] dW_t$$

Therefore we have to compute  $D_t H$ .

$$D_t H = \int_t^T e^{-\beta s} (u_{21}(e_s, z_s) D_t e_s + u_{22}(e_s, z_s) D_t z_s) ds$$

Recall that  $D_t e_s = e_s \sigma_t^e$ , we compute  $D_t z_s$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_t z_s &= \eta D_t y_s + \mu D_t (E[y_T | \mathcal{F}_s]) \\ &= \eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \mu E[D_t y_T | \mathcal{F}_s] I_{t \leq s} \\ &= \eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \mu \delta E[\sigma_t^e \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)} e_u du | \mathcal{F}_s] I_{t \leq s} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$D_t H = \int_t^T e^{-\beta s} (u_{21}(e_s, z_s) e_s \sigma_t^e + u_{22}(e_s, z_s) (\eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \mu \delta E[\sigma_t^e \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)} e_u du | \mathcal{F}_s])) ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\widetilde{M}_t &= E\left(\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} u_{21}(e_s, z_s) e_s \sigma_t^e + \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-\beta s} u_{22}(e_s, z_s) (\eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \mu \delta E(\sigma_t^e \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)} e_u du | \mathcal{F}_s)) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now  $d(M_t - G_t)$ . We have:

$$dG_t = e^{-(\beta+\alpha)t} u_2(e_t, z_t)$$

and using again Clark-Ocone formula

$$\begin{aligned} dM_t &= E\left(\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s} u_{21}(e_s, z_s) e_s \sigma_t^e + \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s} u_{22}(e_s, z_s) (\eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \mu \delta E(\sigma_t^e \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)} e_u du | \mathcal{F}_s)) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $u(t)$  the instantaneous utility evaluated along the endowment process  $e_t$ , i.e.,  $u(e_t, z_t)$ , and adopt the same notation for the partial derivatives. Equating the drift terms in (10) we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta - r_t) \xi_t &= u_{11}(t) e_t \mu_t^e + u_{12}(t) \eta (\delta e_t - \alpha y_t) + \frac{1}{2} u_{111}(t) (\sigma_t^e)^2 e_t^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} u_{122}(t) \mu^2 \delta^2 (\sigma_t^e)^2 E\left(\int_t^T (e_r - \alpha \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du) dr | \mathcal{F}_t\right)^2 + \eta \delta (\alpha + \beta) E\left(\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)(s-t)} u_2(s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right) \\ &+ \mu \delta (\alpha + \beta) e^{-\alpha(T-t)} E\left(\int_0^T e^{-\beta(s-t)} u_2(s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right) - \eta \delta u_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Equating the volatility term in (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t - r_t &= -\sigma_t(\xi_t)^{-1} (u_{11}(t) e_t \sigma_t^e + u_{12}(t) \mu \delta \sigma_t^e E\left(\int_t^T (e_r - \alpha \int_t^r e^{-\alpha(r-u)} e_u du) dr | \mathcal{F}_t\right) + \\ &\mu \delta e^{-\alpha(T-t)} e^{\beta t} E\left(\int_t^T e^{-\beta s} u_{21}(e_s, z_s) e_s \sigma_t^e + e^{-\beta s} u_{22}(e_s, z_s) (\eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \right. \\ &+ \mu \delta E(\sigma_t^e \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)} e_u du | \mathcal{F}_s)) ds | \mathcal{F}_t)) + \eta \delta e^{(\beta+\alpha)t} E\left(\int_t^T e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s} u_{21}(e_s, z_s) e_s \sigma_t^e + \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-(\beta+\alpha)s} u_{22}(e_s, z_s) (\eta \delta \sigma_t^e \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} e_u du + \mu \delta E(\sigma_t^e \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-u)} e_u du | \mathcal{F}_s)) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right)). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [Antonelli et al., 1998] Antonelli, F., Barucci, E., and Mancino, M.E. (1998). Backward-forward stochastic differential utility: Existence, Consumption and Equilibrium Analysis. Working paper University of Pisa.
- [Borgers and Sarin, 1996] Borgers, T. and Sarin, R. (1996) Naive reinforcement learning with endogenous aspirations. mimeo.
- [Constantinides, 1990] Constantinides, G. (1990). Habit formation: a resolution of the equity premium puzzle. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98:519–543.
- [Cox and Huang, 1989] Cox, J. and Huang, C.-F. (1989). Optimal consumption and portfolio policies when asset prices follow a diffusion process. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 49:33–83.
- [Cox and Huang, 1991] Cox, J. and Huang, C.-F. (1991). A variational problem arising in financial economics. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 20:465–487.
- [Cox et al., 1985] Cox, J., Ingersoll, J., and Ross, S. (1985). An intertemporal general equilibrium model of asset prices. *Econometrica*, 53:363–384.
- [Detemple and Giannikos, 1996] Detemple, J. and Giannikos, C. (1996). Asset and commodity prices with multi-attribute durable goods. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 20:1451–1504.
- [Detemple and Zapatero, 1991] Detemple, J. and Zapatero, F. (1991). Asset prices in an exchange economy with habit formation. *Econometrica*, 59:1633–1657.
- [Detemple and Zapatero, 1992] Detemple, J. and Zapatero, F. (1992). Optimal consumption-portfolio policies with habit formation. *Mathematical Finance*, 2:251–274.
- [Duffie and Epstein, 1992] Duffie, D. and Epstein, L. (1992). Stochastic differential utility. *Econometrica*, 60:353–394.
- [Duffie, Geoffard and Skiadas, 1994b] Duffie, D., Geoffard and Skiadas, C. (1994b). Efficient and equilibrium allocations with stochastic differential utility. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 23:133–146.
- [Duffie and Skiadas, 1994a] Duffie, D. and Skiadas, C. (1994a). Continuous-time security pricing. a utility gradient approach. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 23:107–131.
- [Duffie and Zame, 1989] Duffie, D. and Zame, W. (1989). The consumption-based capital asset pricing model. *Econometrica*, pages 1279–1297.

- [Grossman and Laroque, 1990] Grossman, S. and Laroque, G. (1990). Asset pricing and optimal portfolio choice in the presence of illiquid durable consumption goods. *Econometrica*, 58:25–51.
- [Hindy and Huang, 1993] Hindy, A. and Huang, C. (1993). Optimal consumption and portfolio rules with durability and local substitution. *Econometrica*, 61:85–121.
- [Karandikar, Mookherjee, Ray, Vega-Redondo, 1997] Karandikar, R. Mookherjee, D. Ray, D. and Vega-Redondo, F. (1997) Evolving aspirations and cooperation. forthcoming in *Journal of Economic Theory*.
- [Ocone and Karatzas, 1991] Ocone, D. and Karatzas, I. (1991). A generalized clark representation formula, with application to optimal portfolios. *Stochastics and Stochastic Reports*, 34:187–220.
- [Lucas, 1978] Lucas, R. (1978) Asset prices in an exchange economy. *Econometrica*, 46, 1429-1445.
- [Uzawa, 1968] Uzawa, H. (1968). Time preference, the consumption function and the optimum asset holdings. *Value, Capital and Growth: Papers in honour of Sir John Hicks*.

PUBBLICAZIONI  
del  
Dipartimento di Statistica e Matematica Applicata all'Economia

- Report n. 1 - Some Optimality Conditions in Vector Optimization. (A. Cambini- L.Martein), 1987
- Report n. 2 - On Maximizing a Sum of Ratios. (A. Cambini-L.Martein-S.Schaible), 1987
- Report n.3 - On the Charnes-Cooper Transformation in Linear Fractional Programming. (G.Gasparotto), 1987
- Report n. 4 - Non-linear Separation Theorems, Duality and Optimality. (A. Cambini), 1987
- Report n. 5 - Indicizzazione parziale: aspetti metodologici e riflessi economici. (G.Boletto), 1987
- Report n. 6 - On Parametric Linear Fractional Programming. (A. Cambini-C.Sodini), 1987
- Report n. 7 - Alcuni aspetti meno noti delle migrazioni in Italia. (A.Bonaguidi), 1987
- Report n. 8 - On Solving a Linear Program with one Quadratic Constraint. (L.Martein-S.Schaible), 1987
- Report n. 9 - Alcune osservazioni sull'equazione funzionale  $\phi(x,y,z) = \phi(\phi(x,y,t),t,z)$ . (E.Lari), 1988
- Report n.10 - Une étude par ménage des migrations des personnes âgées: comparaison des résultats pour l'Italie et les Etats-Unis. (F.Bartiaux), 1988
- Report n.11 - Metodi di scomposizione del tasso di inflazione (G.Boletto), 1988
- Report n.12 - A New Algorithm for the Strictly Convex Quadratic Programming Problem. (C.Sodini), 1988
- Report n.13 - On Generating the Set of all Efficient Points of a Bicriteria Fractional Problem. (L.Martein), 1988
- Report n.14 - Applicazioni della programmazione frazionaria nel campo economico-finanziario. (L.Martein), 1988
- Report n.15 - On the Bicriteria Maximization Problem. (L.Martein), 1988
- Report n.16 - Un prototipo di sistema esperto per la consulenza finanziaria rivolta ai piccoli risparmiatori. (P.Manca), 1988
- Report n.17 - Operazioni finanziarie di Soper e operazioni di puro investimento secondo Teichrow-Robichek-Montalbano. (P.Manca), 1988
- Report n.18 - A k-Shortest Path Approach to the Minimum Cost Matching Problem. (P.Carraresi-C.Sodini), 1988
- Report n.19 - Sistemi gravitazionali e fasi di transizione della crescita demografica. (O.Barsotti-M.Bottai), 1988
- Report n.20 - Metodi di scomposizione dell'inflazione aggregata: recenti sviluppi. (G.Boletto), 1988
- Report n.21 - Multiregional Stable Population as a Tool for Short-term Demographic Analysis. (M.Termote-A.Bonaguidi), 1988
- Report n.22 - Storie familiari e storie migratorie: un'indagine in Italia. (M.Bottai), 1988



- Report n.23 - Problemi connessi con la disomogeneità dei gruppi sottoposti a sorveglianza statistico-epidemiologica. (M.F.Romano-M.Marchi), 1988
- Report n.24 - Un approccio logico ai problemi di scelta finanziaria. (F.Orsi), 1988
- Report n.25 - Attrazione ed entropia.(V.Bruno), 1989
- Report n.26 - Invexity in Nonsmooth Programming. (G.Giorgi - S.Mititelu), 1989
- Report n.27 - Lineamenti econometrici dell'evoluzione del reddito nazionale in relazione ad altri fenomeni economici. (V.Bruno), 1989
- Report n.28 - Equivalence in Linear Fractional Programming. (A.Cambini - L.Martein), 1989
- Report n.29 - Centralità e potenziale demografico per l'analisi dei comportamenti demografici: il caso della Toscana. (O.Barsotti - M.Bottai - M.Costa), 1990
- Report n.30 - A sequential method for a bicriteria problem arising in portfolio selection theory. (A.E.Marchi), 1990
- Report n.31 - Mobilità locale e pianificazione territoriale. (M.Bottai), 1990
- Report n.32 - Solving a Quadratic Fractional Program by means of a Complementarity Approach. (A.Marchi), 1990
- Report n.33 - Sulla relazione tra un problema bicriteria e un problema frazionario. (A.Marchi), 1990
- Report n.34 - Variabili latenti e "self-selection" nella valutazione dei processi formativi.(E.Gori), 1991
- Report n.35 - About an Interactive Model for Sexual Populations. (P.Manfredi - E.Salinelli), 1991
- Report n.36 - Alcuni aspetti matematici del modello di Sraffa a produzione semplice.(G.Giorgi), 1991
- Report n.37 - Parametric Linear Fractional Programming for an Unbounded Feasible Region. (A.Cambini-S.Schaible-C.Sodini), 1991
- Report n.38 - International migration to Northern Mediterranean countries. The cases of Greece, Spain and Italy. (I.Emke-Poulopoulos, V.Gozàlves Pérez, L.Lecchini, O.Barsotti), 1991
- Report n.39 - A LP Code Implementation.(G.Gasparotto), 1991
- Report n.40 - Un problema di programmazione quadratica nella costituzione di capitale. (R.Cambini), 1991
- Report n.41 - Stime ed errori campionari nell'indagine ISTAT sulle forze di lavoro.(G.Ghilardi), 1991
- Report n.42 - Alcuni valori medi, variabilità paretiana ed entropia.(V.Bruno), 1991
- Report n.43 - Gli effetti del trascinarsi dei prezzi sulle misure dell'inflazione: aspetti metodologici. (G.Boletto), 1991
- Report n.44 - Gli abbandoni nell'università: modelli interpretativi.(P.Paolicchi), 1991
- Report n.45 - Da un archivio amministrativo a un archivio statistico: una proposta metodologica per i dati degli studenti universitari.(M.F.Romano), 1991

- Report n.46 - Criteri di scelta delle variabili nei modelli MDS: un'applicazione sulla popolazione studentesca di Pisa. (M.F.Romano), 1991
- Report n.47 - Les parcours migratoires en fonction de la nationalité. Le cas de l'Italie. (O.Barsotti - L.Lecchini), 1991
- Report n.48 - Indicatori statistici ed evoluzione demografica, economica e sociale delle province toscane.(V.Bruno), 1991
- Report n.49 - Tangent cones in Optimization. (A.Cambini, L.Martein), 1991
- Report n.50 - Optimality conditions in Vector and Scalar optimization: a unified approach. (A.Cambini, L.Martein), 1991
- Report n.51 - Elementi di uno schema di campionamento areale per alcune rilevazioni ufficiali in Italia. (G.Ghilardi), 1992
- Report n.52 - Investimenti e finanziamenti generalizzati. (P.Manca), 1992
- Report n.53 - Le rôle des immigrés extra-communautaires dans le marché du travail. (L.Lecchini, O.Barsotti), 1992
- Report n.54 - Alcune condizioni di ottimalità relative ad un insieme stellato.(R.Cambini), 1992
- Report n.55 - Uno schema di campionamento areale per le rilevazioni sulle famiglie in Italia. (G.Ghilardi), 1992
- Report n.56 - Studio di una classe di problemi non lineari: un metodo sequenziale.(R.Cambini), 1992
- Report n.57 - Una nota sulle possibili estensioni a funzioni vettoriali di significative classi di funzioni concavo-generalizzate. (R.Cambini), 1992
- Report n.58 - Metropolitan Aging Transition and Metropolitan Redistribution of the Elderly in Italy. (A.Bonaguidi, V.Terra Abrami), 1992
- Report n.59 - A comparison of Male and Female Migration Strategies:The Cases of African and Filipino Migrants to Italy. (O.Barsotti, L.Lecchini), 1992
- Report n.60 - Un modello logit per lo studio del fenomeno delle nuove imprese. (G.Ghilardi), 1992
- Report n.61 - Generalized Monotonicity. (S.Schaible), 1992
- Report n.62 - Dell'elasticità in economia e dell'incertezza statistica. (V.Bruno), 1992
- Report n.63 - Alcune classi di funzioni concave generalizzate nell'ottimizzazione vettoriale. (L.Martein), 1992
- Report n.64 - On the Relationships between Bicriteria Problems and Non-linear Programming Problems. (A.Marchi), 1992
- Report n.65 - Considerazioni metodologiche sul concetto di elasticità prefissata. (G.Boletto), 1992
- Report n.66 - Soluzioni efficienti e condizioni di ottimalità nell'ottimizzazione vettoriale. (L.Martein), 1992
- Report n.67 - Le rilevazioni ufficiali ISTAT della popolazione universitaria : problemi e definizioni alternative. (M.F. Romano), 1992

- Report n.68 - La ricerca " Spazio Utilizzato". Obiettivi e primi risultati. (M. Bottai - O. Barsotti), 1993
- Report n.69 - Composizione familiare e mobilità delle persone anziane. Una analisi regionale.  
(M. Bottai - F. Bartiaux), 1993
- Report n.70 - An algorithm for a non-differentiable non-linear fractional programming problem.  
(A. Marchi - C.Sodini), 1993
- Report n.71 - An finite algorithm for generalized linear multiplicative programming.  
(C.Sodini - S.Schaible), 1993
- Report n.72 - An Approach to Optimality Conditions in Vector and Scalar Optimization.  
(A.Cambini - L. Martein), 1993
- Report n.73 - Generalized concavity and optimality conditions in Vector and Scalar Optimization.  
(A.Cambini - L. Martein), 1993
- Report n.74 - Alcune nuove classi di funzioni concavo-generalizzate. (R.Cambini), 1993
- Report n.75 - On Nonlinear Scalarization in Vector Optimization  
(A.Cambini, A.Marchi, L.Martein), 1994
- Report n.76 - Analisi delle carriere degli studenti immatricolati dal 1980 al 1982  
(M.F. Romano, G. Nencioni), 1994
- Report n.77 - Indici statistici della congiuntura.  
(G.Ghilardi), 1994
- Report n.78 - Condizioni di efficienza locale nella ottimizzazione vettoriale. (R.Cambini), 1994
- Report n.79 - Funzioni di utilizzazione dello spazio. (O.Barsotti, M.Bottai), 1994
- Report n.80 - Alcuni aspetti dinamici della popolazione dei comuni della Toscana, distinti per ampiezza demografica e per classi di urbanità e di ruralità. (V.Bruno), 1994
- Report n.81 - I numeri indici del potere d'acquisto della moneta. (G.Boletto), 1994
- Report n.82 - Some optimality conditions in multiobjective programming.  
(A.Cambini, L.Martein, R.Cambini), 1994
- Report n.83 - Fractional Programming with Sums of Ratios. (S. Schaible), 1994
- Report n.84 - The Minimum-Risk Approach For Continuous Time Linear-Fractional Programming. (S.Tigan, M.Stancu-Minasian), 1994
- Report n.85 - On Duality for Multiobjective Mathematical Programming of n-Set Functions. (V.Preda, I.M.Stancu-Minasian), 1994
- Report n.86 - Optimality and Duality in Nonlinear Programming Involving Semilocally Preinvex and Related Functions.(V.Preda, I.M.Stancu-Minasian, A.Batatorescu), 1994
- Report n.87 - Una nota storica sulla programmazione lineare: un problema di Kantorovich rivisto alla luce del problema degli zeri. (E. Melis), 1995
- Report n.88 - Mobilità territoriale dell'Italia e di tre Regioni tipiche: Lombardia, Toscana, Sicilia. (V.Bruno), 1995

- Report n.89 - Bibliografia sulla presenza straniera in Italia. (A.Cortese), 1995
- Report n.90 - Funzioni Scalari Affini Generalizzate (R.Cambini), 1995
- Report n.91 - Modelli epidemiologici: teoria e simulazione. (I) (P.Manfredi - F. Tarini), 1995
- Report n.92 - The "OLIVAR" Survey. Methodology and Quality. (M. Bottai - M. Caputo - L. Lecchini), 1995
- Report n.93 - Old People and social network. (L. Lecchini - D. Marsiglia - M. Bottai), 1995
- Report n.94 - Uno studio empirico sul confronto tra alcuni indici statistici della congiuntura. (G. Ghilardi), 1995
- Report n.95 - Il traffico nei porti italiani negli anni recenti. (V. Bruno) , 1995
- Report n.96 - An Analysis of the Falk-Palocsay Algorithm.  
(A.Cambini,A.Marchi,L.Martein,S.Schaible) , 1995
- Report n 97 - Sulla esistenza di elementi massimali. (A.Cambini, L.Carosi) , 1995
- Report n.98 - Generalized Concavity and Generalized Monotonicity Concepts for Vector Valued  
(R.Cambini, S.Komlòsi),
- Report n.99 - Second Order Optimality Conditions in the Image Space. (R.Cambini) , 1996
- Report n.100 - La Stagionalità delle correnti di navigazione marittima. (V.Bruno) , 1996
- Report n.101 - A Comparison of Alternative Discrete Approximations of the  
Cox- Ingersoll - Ross Model. (E.M.Cleur), 1996
- Report n.102 - Sul calcolo del rapporto di concentrazione del Gini. (G. Ghilardi), 1996
- Report n.103 - A New Approach to Second Order Optimality Conditions in  
Vector Optimization. (A.Cambini, L.Martein, R.Cambini), 1996
- Report n.104 - Alcune osservazioni sull'immunizzazione semideterministica. (F. Gozzi), 1996.
- Report n.105 - Innovation and Capital Accumulation in a Vintage Capital Model:  
an Infinite Dimensional Control Approach. (E. Barucci, F. Gozzi), 1996.
- Report n.106 - A survey of bicriteria fractional problems.  
(A.Cambini, L.Martein, I.M.Stancu-Minasian), 1996
- Report n.107 - Viscosità dei salari, offerta di lavoro endogena e ciclo  
(L. Fanti, P. Manfredi), 1996
- Report n.108 - Ciclo di vita di nuovi prodotti: modellistica non lineare (P. Manfredi), 1996
- Report n.109 - Crescita con ciclo, gestazione dei piani di investimento ed effetti.  
(L.Fanti, P. Manfredi), 1996
- Report n.110 - Un modello «classico» di ciclo con crescita ed offerta di lavoro  
endogena. (L.Fanti, P. Manfredi), 1996
- Report n.111 - On the Connectedness of the Efficient Frontier: Sets Without Local Maxima.  
(A. Marchi), 1996
- Report n.112 - Generalized Concavity for Bicriteria Functions (R. Cambini), 1996

- Report n.113 - Variazioni dinamiche (1971-1981-1991) dei fenomeni demografici dei comuni (urbani e rurali) della Lombardia, in relazione ad alcune caratteristiche di mobilità territoriale (V. Bruno), 1996
- Report n.114 - Infectious diseases: epidemiology, mathematical models, and immunization policies (P. Manfredi, F. Tarini, J.R. Williams, A. Carducci, B. Casini), 1997
- Report n.115 - One dimensional SDE models, low order numerical methods and simulation based estimation: a comparison of alternative estimators (E.M. Cleur, P. Manfredi), 1997
- Report n.116 - Point stability versus orbital stability (or instability): remarks on policy implications in classical growth cycle model (L. Fanti, P. Manfredi), 1997
- Report n.117 - Transition into adulthood, marriage, and timing of life in a stable population framework (P. Manfredi, F. Billari) 1997
- Report n.118 - Una nota sul concetto di estremo superiore di insiemi ordinati da coni convessi (L. Carosi), 1997
- Report n.119 - Reti sociali degli anziani: selezione e qualità delle relazioni (L. Lecchini e D. Marsiglia), 1997
- Report n.120 - Gestation lags and efficiency wage mechanisms in a Goodwin type growth model (P. Manfredi e L. Fanti), 1997
- Report n.121 - La metodologia statistica multilevel come possibile strumento per lo studio delle interazioni tra il comportamento procreativo individuale e il contesto (G. Rivellini), 1997
- Report n.122 - Una nota sugli insiemi C-limitati e L-limitati (L. Carosi ), 1997
- Report n.123 - Sull'estremo superiore di una funzione lineare fratta ristretta ad un insieme chiuso e illimitato (L. Carosi ), 1997
- Report n.124 - A demographic framework for the evaluation of the impact of imported infectious diseases (P. Manfredi), 1997
- Report n.125 - Calo della fecondità ed immigrazioni: scenari e considerazioni sul caso italiano (A. Valentini), 1997
- Report n.126 - Second order optimality conditions (A. Cambini - L. Martein), 1997
- Report n.127 - Populations with below replacement fertility: theoretical considerations and scenarios from the Italian laboratory (P. Manfredi and A. Valentini), 1998
- Report n.128 - Programmazione frazionaria e problemi bicriteria (A. Cambini - L. Martein - E. Moretti), 1998
- Report n.129 - Incentive compatibility constraints and dynamic programming in continuous time (E. Barucci - F. Gozzi - A. Swiech), 1998
- Report n.130 - Impatto delle immigrazioni sulla popolazione italiana: confronto tra scenari alternativi (A. Valentini), 1999

- Report n. 131 - Recent development of migrations from Poland to Europe with a special emphasis on Italy (K. Iglicka) Le migrazioni est-ovest : Le unioni miste in Italia - (O.Barsotti - L. Lecchini), 1999
- Report. n.132 - Proiezioni demografiche multiregionali a due sessi, con immigrazioni internazionale e vincoli di consistenza (A. Valentini), 1999.
- Report. n 133 - Backward- Forward stochastic differential utility: Existence, consumption and equilibrium analysis (F.Antonelli - E. Barucci - M.E. Mancino), 1999
- Report. n.134 - Asset pricing with endogenous Aspirations (E. Barucci - M.E. Mancino), 1999