



Università degli Studi di Pisa
Dipartimento di Statistica e Matematica
Applicata all'Economia

Report n. 246

**A note on the connectedness of
the efficiente frontier**

Riccardo Cambini – Anna Marchi

Pisa, Febbraio 2004

- Stampato in Proprio -

A note on the connectedness of the efficient frontier

R. Cambini, A. Marchi

Dept. of Statistics and Applied Mathematics,

University of Pisa, Italy

February 2004

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study sufficient conditions for the connectedness of the efficient frontier. We propose an unifying approach, based on a new regularity concept, which allows to generalize the results in [1, 6]. Connectedness results are studied for both V -compact and not V -compact sets. The obtained results are finally used to study the connectedness of the efficient frontier of continuous multiobjective functions.

1 Introduction

One of the main topics in multiobjective optimization is the study of the properties of the efficient frontier. Among all the topological properties of these sets, it is worth studying their connectedness, which allows to continuously move from one efficient solution to any other without leaving the efficient frontier. This problem has been approached in the literature in various way (see for example [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]), that is assuming convexity hypothesis, strict quasiconcavity ones, studying both efficient and weak efficient points.

In this paper we aim to study some sufficient conditions for the connectedness of the efficient frontier which generalize the ones by [1, 6]. With this purpose, see Section 2, we

first present some general preliminary results related to the efficient frontier; note that the efficient points are obtained with a partial order given by any closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior.

Then, see Section 3, in order to study the connectedness of the efficient frontier we suggest an unifying approach based on the use of “compactness-connectedness regularity conditions”, that is to say conditions guaranteeing the connectedness of the efficient frontier of compact sets. This general approach can be used when the partial order is given by any closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior.

The introduced general approach, see Section 4, is then applied in the particular case of a partial ordering given by polyhedral/paretian cones. This allow us to generalize both the result by Benoist [1] and the one by Hu and Sun [6] under the assumption of the V -compactness of the set. The generalization of the result by Benoist is given using also a generalization of sequentially strictly quasiconcave sets. It is worth noticing that the two obtained generalizations cannot be applied to the same sets, that is to say that none of them generalizes the other.

The results obtained in Section 4, allow us in Section 5 to state connectedness sufficient conditions also for non V -compact sets, thus extending furthermore the class of connected efficient frontiers which can be recognized. Finally, in Section 6 we show how the obtained results may be used in order to study the connectedness of the efficient frontier in the image of a continuous vector valued function.

2 Definitions and preliminary results

In this preliminary section we aim to provide some general definitions and results which will be used in the rest of the paper. Let us first recall the definitions of efficient frontier and V -compact sets.

Definition 2.1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior, let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By introducing the following notation:

$$V(a) = \{a\} + V$$

we define the set of the **efficient points** of X with respect to the cone V , also called the **efficient frontier** of X with respect to V , as:

$$\text{Max}(X, V) = \{a \in X \mid V(a) \cap X = \{a\}\}$$

Definition 2.2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. The set X is said to be **V -compact** if for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sets $V(a) \cap X$ are compact, while it is said to be **weakly V -compact** if for all $a \in X$ the sets $V(a) \cap X$ are compact.

Note that the weak V -compactness has been studied in [3]. Note also that a V -compact set is also weak V -compact while the converse is not true, as it is pointed out in the next example.

Example 2.1 Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x + y = 1, 0 < x < 1\}$ and $V = \mathbb{R}_+^2$. Clearly, the set X is weakly V -compact since $V(a) \cap X$ is compact $\forall a \in X$, while it is not V -compact since $V(a) \cap X$ with $a = (0, 0)$ is not compact.

It is worth noticing also the next known result which guarantees a nonempty efficient frontier.

Property 2.1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and closed and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. If there exists $a \in X$ such that $V(a) \cap X$ is compact then $\text{Max}(X, V) \neq \emptyset$.

In order to provide the following further useful results related to V -compact sets, from now on we will denote with V^+ the positive polar cone of V , that is to say that

$$V^+ = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v^T u \geq 0 \forall v \in V\}$$

Property 2.2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. Consider also the following conditions:

(C1) there exists a compact set C in \mathbb{R}^n such that $X = C - V$;

(C2) X is closed and there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X \subset \{v\} - V$;

(C3) X is V -compact.

Then:

$$(C1) \implies (C2) \quad \text{and} \quad (C2) \implies (C3)$$

Proof (C1) \implies (C2). Let us first note that X is a closed set since it is the sum of a compact set and a closed cone. Given a vector $u \in \text{int}(V)$ and a point $a \in C$, we just have to prove that there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 0$, such that $C \subset (a + ku) - V$. Suppose by contradiction that for all $k \geq 0$ there exists $b_k \in C$ such that $b_k \notin (a + ku) - V$. Since $(a + ku) - V$ is a closed convex set for a known separation theorem there exists $\alpha \in V^+$, $\|\alpha\| = 1$, such that $\alpha^T(b_k - a - ku) > 0$. Hence:

$$\alpha^T a + k\alpha^T u < \alpha^T b_k < \|\alpha\| \|b_k\| = \|b_k\|$$

note also that since $u \in \text{int}(V)$ and $\alpha \in V^+$ then $\alpha^T u > 0$. Since the previous inequalities hold for all $k \geq 0$ then:

$$+\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^T a + k\alpha^T u < \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|b_k\|$$

and this contradicts the compactness of C .

(C2) \implies (C3). Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a point such that $X \subset \{v\} - V$ and let us verify that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sets $V(a) \cap X$ are compact. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $V(a) \cap X = (\{a\} + V) \cap X$ is nonempty. Since X and $V(a)$ are closed then $V(a) \cap X$ is closed. Suppose now, by contradiction, that $V(a) \cap X$ is not compact, then there exists a sequence $\{x_k\} \subset \{a\} + V$ and $\{x_k\} \subset X \subset \{v\} - V$ such that $\|x_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$. As a consequence, there exist two sequences $\{u_k\} \subset V$ and $\{w_k\} \subset V$ such that for all $k \geq 0$ it is $x_k = a + u_k = v - w_k$. Since $\|x_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$ then $\|u_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\|w_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$ too. We can then extract a subsequence of $\{u_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_k / \|u_k\| = \bar{u} \in V$ with $\|\bar{u}\| = 1$ and this yields, for the closure of V , that:

$$\bar{u} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a - v}{\|u_k\|} + \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-w_k}{\|u_k\|} \in -V$$

and since $\bar{u} \in V$ with $\|\bar{u}\| = 1$ this contradicts the pointedness of V . \square

Property 2.3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. If X is V -compact then X is closed.

Proof If the set X is finite the result is obvious. Let now X be not finite and assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\{b_k\} \subset X$ such that $\{b_k\} \rightarrow \bar{b} \notin X$. Given any vector v in the interior of V let us define the point $a = \bar{b} - v$; as a consequence we have that $b_k \in V(a) \cap X$ for k great enough and this implies that $V(a) \cap X$ is not compact since $\{b_k\} \rightarrow \bar{b} \notin X$. \square

For the sake of completeness, let us provide also the following useful known results regarding to the efficient frontier.

Property 2.4 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. Then,

$$\text{Max}(X, V) = \text{Max}(X - V, V)$$

Proof (\subseteq) Let $a \in \text{Max}(X, V) \subseteq X \subseteq X - V$ and suppose by contradiction that $a \notin \text{Max}(X - V, V)$, that is to say that $\exists w \in V, w \neq 0$, such that $a + w \in X - V$. Then, $\exists b \in X$ and $\exists v \in V$ such that $a + w = b - v$. As a consequence, $a + w + v = b \in X$ and since $w + v \neq 0$ this implies that $a \notin \text{Max}(X, V)$, which is a contradiction.

(\supseteq) Let $a \in \text{Max}(X - V, V) \subseteq X - V$, then $\exists b \in X$ and $\exists v \in V$ such that $a = b - v$. As a consequence, $a + v = b \in X \subseteq X - V$ and since $a \in \text{Max}(X - V, V)$ this implies $v = 0$ and $a = b \in X$. Suppose now by contradiction that $a \notin \text{Max}(X, V)$, that is to say that $\exists w \in V, w \neq 0$, such that $a + w \in X \subseteq X - V$. Then, $a \notin \text{Max}(X - V, V)$ which is a contradiction. \square

Property 2.5 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. Defining the sets $Y_X = X - V$ and $Y_M = \text{Max}(X, V) - V$ it results:

$$\text{Max}(X, V) = \text{Max}(Y_X, V) = \text{Max}(Y_M, V)$$

Proof By means of Property 2.4 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max}(Y_X, V) &= \text{Max}(X - V, V) = \text{Max}(X, V) \\ \text{Max}(Y_M, V) &= \text{Max}(\text{Max}(X, V) - V, V) \\ &= \text{Max}(\text{Max}(X, V), V) = \text{Max}(X, V) \end{aligned}$$

and the result is then proved. \square

Finally, let us state the next property which provides a condition more general than the closedness of the efficient frontier of a V -compact set.

Property 2.6 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. If X is V -compact and $\text{Max}(X, V)$ is closed then $\text{Max}(X, V)$ is nonempty and V -compact.*

Proof Since X is nonempty and V -compact then $\text{Max}(X, V)$ is nonempty. Notice also that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sets $V(a) \cap \text{Max}(X, V)$ are closed for the closedness of V and $\text{Max}(X, V)$. Suppose now by contradiction that $\text{Max}(X, V)$ is not V -compact, that is to say that there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $V(a) \cap \text{Max}(X, V)$ is closed but not compact. As a consequence, the set $V(a) \cap X$, which contains $V(a) \cap \text{Max}(X, V)$, is not compact too and this contradicts the V -compactness of X . \square

Note that the forthcoming Example 4.2 points out the importance in Property 2.6 of the closedness of the efficient frontier. Note also that the converse of the implication stated in Property 2.6 does not hold, as it is pointed out in the forthcoming Example 5.1.

3 A unifying approach

In this section we are going to describe the unifying approach which will be used in the rest of the paper in order to study the connectedness of the efficient frontier.

The concept of connectedness which will be used through this paper is the usual one (see for example [13]).

Definition 3.1 A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **disconnected** if there exists two nonempty sets $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ and $cl(X_1) \cap X_2 = X_1 \cap cl(X_2) = \emptyset$. A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **connected** if it is not disconnected.

In order to study the connectedness of the efficient frontier let us now introduce the following new concept of regularity.

Definition 3.2 Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior. From now on any condition which guarantees that the following implication holds:

$$X \text{ is compact} \implies \text{Max}(X, V) \text{ is connected}$$

will be referred to as a **Compactness-Connectedness regularity condition**, that is a **CC-regularity condition** in short.

The use of the previously introduced regularity concept is pointed out in the following theorem, which suggests how to obtain new sufficient conditions for the connectedness of the efficient frontier. With this aim, a technical lemma is needed.

Lemma 3.1 Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior and let X be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let also $\bar{a} \in X$, $u \in \text{int}(V)$ and $a_k = \bar{a} - ku$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\text{Max}(V(a_k) \cap X, V)\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets such that

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Max}(V(a_k) \cap X, V) = \text{Max}(X, V).$$

Proof It is easy to check that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it is:

$$\begin{aligned} V(a_k) &\subseteq V(a_{k+1}), \\ V(a_k) \cap X &\subseteq V(a_{k+1}) \cap X, \\ \text{Max}(V(a_k) \cap X, V) &\subseteq \text{Max}(V(a_{k+1}) \cap X, V), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\{\text{Max}(V(a_k) \cap X, V)\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it is $V(a_k) \cap X \subseteq X$ so that $\text{Max}(V(a_k) \cap X, V) \subseteq \text{Max}(X, V)$ and hence

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Max}(V(a_k) \cap X, V) \subseteq \text{Max}(X, V)$$

We are now left to prove that $Max(X, V) \subseteq \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Max(V(a_k) \cap X, V)$, that is to say that for all $b \in Max(X, V)$ there exists $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \in Max(V(a_{\bar{k}}) \cap X, V)$.

With this aim, given $b \in Max(X, V) \subseteq X$ let us first prove that there exists $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \in V(a_{\bar{k}}) = \{a_{\bar{k}}\} + V$, that is to say that $a_{\bar{k}} \in \{b\} - V$. By contradiction suppose that:

$$\{a_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_k = \bar{a} - ku, k \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \cap (\{b\} - V) = \emptyset$$

By means of a known separation theorem between convex sets, there exists a separating hyperplane $\alpha^T z = \beta$ such that:

$$(i) \alpha^T(b - v) \leq \beta \text{ for all } v \in V;$$

$$(ii) \alpha^T(\bar{a} - ku) \geq \beta \text{ for all } k \geq 0;$$

Let now \bar{v} be any nonzero vector of V so that for all $t > 0$ it is $t\bar{v} \in V$; from (i) we have:

$$\alpha^T b - \beta = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^T b - \beta \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^T(t\bar{v}) = \alpha^T \bar{v} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t$$

which is possible only if $\alpha^T \bar{v} \geq 0$. In other words, we have proved that $\alpha^T \bar{v} \geq 0$ for all $\bar{v} \in V$ which means that $\alpha \in V^+$. Since $u \in int(V)$ it then results $\alpha^T u > 0$ and hence:

$$\alpha^T \bar{a} - \beta = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^T \bar{a} - \beta \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^T(ku) = \alpha^T u \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k = +\infty$$

which is a contradiction. We have then proved that there exists $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \in V(a_{\bar{k}})$; since $b \in Max(X, V) \subseteq X$, that is $V(b) \cap X = \{b\}$, this yields:

$$V(b) \cap (V(a_{\bar{k}}) \cap X) = V(a_{\bar{k}}) \cap (V(b) \cap X) = V(a_{\bar{k}}) \cap \{b\} = \{b\}$$

so that $b \in Max(V(a_{\bar{k}}) \cap X, V)$. The whole result is then proved. \square

Theorem 3.1 *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior and let X be a nonempty and V -compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If a CC-regularity condition holds for all the sets $V(a) \cap X$, with $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $Max(X, V)$ is a nonempty and connected set.*

Proof Given $\bar{a} \in X \neq \emptyset$ and $u \in int(V)$ let us define the points $a_k = \bar{a} - ku$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For the hypothesis the sets $V(a_k) \cap X$ are compact and they are also nonempty since $\bar{a} \in$

$V(a_k) \cap X$. Hence, for the CC-regularity condition, the sets $Max(V(a_k) \cap X, V)$ are connected and nonempty. By means of Lemma 3.1 we then have that $\{Max(V(a_k) \cap X, V)\}$ is an increasing sequence of nonempty connected sets such that

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Max(V(a_k) \cap X, V) = Max(X, V).$$

so that, for a classical result of topology (see for instance Lemma 6 in [7]), we can conclude that $Max(X, V)$ is nonempty and connected. \square

Corollary 3.1 *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, convex, pointed cone with nonempty interior and let X be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that $Y_X = X - V$ is V -compact. If a CC-regularity condition holds for all the sets $V(a) \cap Y_X$, with $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $Max(X, V)$ is a nonempty and connected set.*

Proof We just have to apply Theorem 3.1 to the set Y_X noticing that $Max(X, V) = Max(Y_X, V)$ for Property 2.4 and that since X is nonempty then Y_X is nonempty too. \square

From now on, by means of the proposed unifying approach, we can reduce our analysis to the study of CC-regularity conditions.

4 Connectedness for V_p -compact sets

The aim of this section is to generalize some results appeared in the literature concerning the connectedness of the efficient frontier when the ordering cone in the image space is the paretian one.

4.1 Basic definitions

From now on, we assume that $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n and we define the following polyhedral cone:

$$V_p = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_+ e^i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e^i, \lambda_i \geq 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (4.1)$$

Obviously, V_p is closed, convex, pointed with nonempty interior.

Since $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n from now on all the elements of \mathbb{R}^n will be expressed by means of components given with respect to this basis. In other words, we will use the following notation:

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 e^1 + \dots + x_n e^n$$

We are now able to recall the following concepts of quasiconcave and strictly quasiconcave sets (see [6] by Hu and Sun) and the stronger concept of sequentially strictly quasiconcave sets (see [1, 2] by Benoist).

Definition 4.1 A subset X of \mathbb{R}^n is said to be:

(QC) quasiconcave if for all pairs (a, b) in X^2 , $a \neq b$, there exists some $c \in X$, $c \neq a, b$, such that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it results $c_k \geq \min\{a_k, b_k\}$.

(SQ) strictly quasiconcave if for all pairs (a, b) in X^2 , $a \neq b$, there exists some $c \in X$, $c \neq a, b$, such that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it results:

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &> \min\{a_k, b_k\} \text{ if } a_k \neq b_k, \\ c_k &\geq a_k = b_k \text{ if } a_k = b_k. \end{aligned}$$

(SSQ) sequentially strictly quasiconcave if for all pairs (a, b) in X^2 there exists a sequence $\{c^i\} \subset X$, $\{c^i\} \rightarrow a$, such that $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ it results:

$$\begin{aligned} c_k^i &> \min\{a_k, b_k\} \text{ if } a_k \neq b_k, \\ c_k^i &\geq a_k = b_k \text{ if } a_k = b_k. \end{aligned}$$

It is worth noticing that Benoist in [1] uses an orthogonal basis $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n while Hu and Sun in [6] use the canonical one. In this paper we prefer to use a general basis of \mathbb{R}^n (that is a not necessarily orthogonal one) since by means of just an homeomorphism it is equivalent to the canonical one.

4.2 An extension of sequential strict quasiconcavity

In order to generalize a result given by Benoist in [1] ⁽¹⁾ let us now introduce the following extension of the sequential strictly quasiconcavity.

Definition 4.2 Let V_p as defined in (4.1). A subset X of \mathbb{R}^n is said to be **extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave** with respect to V_p if it verifies the next property:

(ESSQ) for all pairs (a, b) in $Max(X, V_p)^2$ there exists a sequence $\{c^i\} \subset X$, $\{c^i\} \rightarrow a$, such that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it results:

$$\begin{aligned} c_k^i &> \min\{a_k, b_k\} & \text{if } a_k \neq b_k, \\ c_k^i &\geq a_k = b_k & \text{if } a_k = b_k. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the previous definition generalizes the class of sequentially strictly quasiconcave sets defined by Benoist. The following examples points out that these classes differ.

Example 4.1 Let us consider the set $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y \leq 4\} \\ X_2 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq -1, x + y \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

This set is extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave but not sequentially strictly quasiconcave (just consider $a = (1, -1)$ and $b = (4, 0)$). □

In order to state a new CC-regularity condition, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 4.1 *Let X be a nonempty and compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If X is extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave then the set $Y_X = X - V_p$ is sequentially strictly quasiconcave.*

Proof We have to prove that for all pairs (a, b) in Y_X^2 there exists a sequence $\{c^i\} \subset Y_X$, $\{c^i\} \rightarrow a$, such that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it results

$$c_k^i > \min\{a_k, b_k\} \text{ if } a_k \neq b_k \text{ while } c_k^i \geq a_k = b_k \text{ if } a_k = b_k. \quad (4.2)$$

¹Theorem [Benoist [1], Theorem 4.1, page 633] Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sets $V_p(a) \cap X$ are compact. If X is sequentially strictly quasiconcave then $Max(X, V_p)$ is a connected set.

With this aim, let us first recall that since $Y_X = X - V_p$ it is $Max(Y_X, V_p) = Max(X, V_p)$.

First consider the case $a \in Y_X$ but $a \notin Max(Y_X, V_p)$. The set $Y_X \cap V_p(a)$ is a compact set for Property 2.2 and hence there exists

$$\bar{a} \in Max(Y_X \cap V_p(a), V_p) \subseteq Max(Y_X, V_p) = Max(X, V_p).$$

Since $Y_X = X - V_p$ and V_p is convex, then $a \in \bar{a} - V_p$ and the segment $[a, \bar{a}] \subset Y_X \cap V_p(a)$. Hence a sequence $\{c^i\} \rightarrow a$, $\{c^i\} \subset Y_X$, verifying (4.2) obviously exists for all $b \in Y_X$.

Consider now the case $a \in Max(Y_X, V_p) = Max(X, V_p)$ and let $b \in Y_X$. Since $Y_X \cap V_p(b)$ is a compact set, $Y_X = X - V_p$ and V_p is convex, then there exists

$$\bar{b} \in Max(Y_X \cap V_p(b), V_p) \subseteq Max(Y_X, V_p) = Max(X, V_p)$$

such that $b \in \bar{b} - V_p$. By means of the extended strictly quasiconcavity of X applied to the pair (a, \bar{b}) there there exists a sequence $\{c^i\} \rightarrow a$, $\{c^i\} \subset X \subseteq Y_X$, verifying (4.2) and the result is proved. \square

Theorem 4.1 *Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The following condition is a CC-regularity one:*

X is extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave.

Proof We have to prove that if X is compact then $Max(X, V_p)$ is connected. Let $Y_X = X - V_p$ and assume X compact; notice also that Y_X results to be nonempty and closed. By means of the previous Lemma 4.1 the set Y_X is sequentially strictly quasiconcave and hence, by means of a proposition by Benoist ⁽²⁾, $Max(Y_X, V_p)$ is connected. The result then follows since $Max(Y_X, V_p) = Max(X, V_p)$. \square

The following connectedness results then follow.

²Theorem [Benoist [1], Proposition 4.1, page 633] *Let Y be a nonempty, closed and sequentially strictly quasiconcave subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Assume also that there exists a compact set C in \mathbb{R} such that $Y = C - V_p$. Then, $Max(Y, V_p)$ is connected.*

Let us notice that this result has been rewritten in the light of Proposition 3.3 again in [1], page 633.

Theorem 4.2 *Let X be a nonempty and V_p -compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If X is extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave then $Max(X, V_p)$ is a nonempty connected set.*

Proof First notice that:

$$Max(V_p(a) \cap X, V_p) = V_p(a) \cap Max(X, V_p) \subseteq Max(X, V_p).$$

The result then follows from Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 since for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sets $V_p(a) \cap X$ results to be extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave. \square

Corollary 4.1 *Let X be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that $Y_X = X - V_p$ is V_p -compact. If Y_X is extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave then $Max(X, V_p)$ is nonempty and connected.*

Proof We just have to apply Theorem 4.2 to the set Y_X noticing that $Max(X, V_p) = Max(Y_X, V_p)$ for Property 2.4 and that since X is nonempty then Y_X is nonempty too. \square

Theorem 4.2 above generalizes the result provided by Benoist in [1], as it is pointed out in Example 4.1. In facts, the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2 above are satisfied, while the result by Benoist in [1] (Theorem 4.1, page 633) cannot be applied since the set X is not sequentially strictly quasiconcave and the efficient frontier

$$Max(X, V_p) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y = 4\}$$

is connected, compact and convex.

4.3 Connectedness of closed efficient frontiers

Another CC-regularity condition can be obtained, by means of the definitions given in Section 2, just by rewriting a result by Hu and Sun (that is Theorem 3.1, p.617, in [6]).

Theorem 4.3 *Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The following condition is a CC-regularity one:*

X is strictly quasiconcave and $Max(X, V_p)$ is closed.

The chosen approach allows to state the following result.

Theorem 4.4 *Let X be a nonempty and V_p -compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If X is strictly quasiconcave and $Max(X, V_p)$ is closed then $Max(X, V_p)$ is a nonempty connected set.*

Proof By means of Theorems 3.1 and 4.3 we just have to prove that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sets $V_p(a) \cap X$ are strictly quasiconcave and the sets $Max(V_p(a) \cap X, V_p)$ are closed. Let a be any element of \mathbb{R}^n ; since X is strictly quasiconcave then, by means of the definition itself, $V_p(a) \cap X$ is strictly quasiconcave too; note also that

$$Max(V_p(a) \cap X, V_p) = V_p(a) \cap Max(X, V_p)$$

so that for the closure of $Max(X, V_p)$ and V_p we have that $Max(V_p(a) \cap X, V_p)$ is closed too. The proof is then complete. □

Corollary 4.2 *Let X be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that $Y_X = X - V_p$ is V_p -compact. If Y_X is strictly quasiconcave and $Max(X, V_p)$ is closed then $Max(X, V_p)$ is nonempty and connected.*

Proof We just have to apply Theorem 4.4 to the set Y_X , noticing that $Max(X, V_p) = Max(Y_X, V_p)$ for Property 2.4 and that since X is nonempty then Y_X is nonempty too. □

The following example (taken from [6], pp.618) points out the importance in Theorem 4.4 of the closedness of the efficient frontier.

Example 4.2 Let us consider the set $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 1\} \\ X_2 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y = -x, 0 \leq x \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set $Max(X, V_p) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y = -x, 0 < x \leq 1\} \cup \{(0, 1)\}$ is neither closed nor connected even if the set X is strictly quasiconcave, compact and hence V_p -compact. \square

Theorem 4.4 above generalizes (see again Property 2.2) the main result provided by Hu and Sun in [6], as it is pointed out by the following example ⁽³⁾.

Example 4.3 Let us consider the set $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x + y \leq 0\}$. The efficient frontier $Max(X, V_p) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x + y = 0\}$ is connected, closed and convex, and the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4 above are satisfied. On the other hand, the result by Hu and Sun in [6] (Theorem 3.2, page 618) cannot be applied since there is no $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X \subset \{v\} - V_p$. \square

The following Examples 4.4 and 4.5 point out that Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 cannot be applied to the same classes of sets, that is to say that none of them is a generalization of the other one.

Example 4.4 Let us consider the set $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (x, y, z) = (2, 1, -1)t + (0, 1, 1), 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \\ X_2 &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (x, y, z) = (0, -1, 1)k + (0, 1, 1), 0 \leq k \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This set is compact, connected and $X = Max(X, V_p)$. It is also strictly quasiconcave but not sequentially strictly quasiconcave (just consider $a = (0, 0, 2)$ and $b = (2, 2, 0)$), so that Theorem 4.4 can be used in order to recognize the connectedness of $Max(X, V_p)$ while the same cannot be done neither with Theorem 4.2 nor with the result by Benoist in [1] (Theorem 4.1, page 633). \square

³Theorem [Hu and Sun [6], Theorem 3.2, page 618] Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n and assume that there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X \subset \{v\} - V_p$. If X is strictly quasiconcave and $Max(X, V_p)$ is closed then $Max(X, V_p)$ is a connected set.

Example 4.5 Let us consider the set $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ where:

$$X_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y \leq 4\}$$

$$X_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq -4, x + y \leq 0\}.$$

The efficient frontier $Max(X, V_p) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y = 4\}$ is connected, compact and convex. The set X is extended sequentially strictly quasiconcave but it is neither strictly quasiconcave nor sequentially strictly quasiconcave (just consider $a = (4, -4)$ and $b = (4, 0)$), hence Theorem 4.2 can be applied to X while the same does not happen neither for Theorem 4.4 nor for the result by Hu and Sun in [6] (Theorem 3.2, page 618). \square

5 Connectedness for not V_p -compact sets

Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 can be used to determine the connectedness of the efficient frontier for sets X which are V_p -compact. The previous Property 2.6 and the following Property 5.1 suggest how to further generalize Theorem 4.4 in order to manage sets which are not V_p -compact.

Property 5.1 *Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If X is strictly quasiconcave then the set $Y_M = Max(X, V_p) - V_p$ is strictly quasiconcave too.*

Proof Let $a, b \in Y_M = Max(X, V_p) - V_p$, $a \neq b$. Then, there exist $v, w \in V_p$ such that $(a + v) \in Max(X, V_p) \subseteq X$ and $(b + w) \in Max(X, V_p) \subseteq X$. If $a + v \neq b + w$ the result follows from the strict quasiconcavity of X applied to $a + v$ and $b + w$. Otherwise, that is if $a + v = b + w$, then $a, b \in (a + v) - V_p \subseteq Y_M$ hence we just have to choose $c = (a + b)/2$ thanks to the convexity of V_p . \square

Let us notice that the converse of the implication stated in Property 5.1 does not hold, as it is pointed out in the forthcoming Example 5.1.

The following generalization of Theorem 4.4 can now be stated.

Theorem 5.1 *Let X be a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let also $Max(X, V_p)$ be nonempty and V_p -compact. If the set $Y_M = Max(X, V_p) - V_p$ is strictly quasiconcave then $Max(X, V_p)$ is a nonempty connected set.*

Proof For Property 2.2 since $Max(X, V_p)$ is V_p -compact then it is also closed. As a consequence, for the closure and convexity of V_p , the set Y_M is nonempty, closed and strictly quasiconcave. Note also that since $Max(X, V_p)$ is V_p -compact and V_p is closed and convex then Y_M is V_p -compact too. For Property 2.5 it is finally $Max(X, V_p) = Max(Y_M, V_p)$ so that $Max(Y_M, V_p)$ is closed. By means of Theorem 4.4 applied to the set Y_M , we then have that $Max(Y_M, V_p)$ is a nonempty connected set and the result is proved since $Max(X, V_p) = Max(Y_M, V_p)$ for Property 2.5. \square

The following Example 5.1 shows that Theorem 5.1 can be applied to sets X which are not V_p -compact (just the V_p -compactness of the efficient frontier is required).

Example 5.1 Let us consider the set $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ where:

$$X_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x < 0, x + y = 1\}$$

$$X_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$$

$$X_3 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x > 0, y = 0\}.$$

The efficient frontier $Max(X, V_p) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq 0, x + y = 1\}$ is connected, V_p -compact and convex. The set X is neither strictly quasiconcave nor sequentially strictly quasiconcave (just consider $a = (0, 1)$ and $b = (1, 0)$), while $Y_M = Max(X, V_p) - V_p$ is strictly quasiconcave. Hence Theorem 5.1 can be applied to X while the same does not happen for Theorems 4.2 and 4.4. \square

6 Concluding remarks

It is worth noticing that the results stated in the previous sections can be applied to study the connectedness of the efficient frontier of a vector valued function in the image space.

With this aim, let us consider the following multiobjective problem:

$$\begin{cases} \max f(x) \\ x \in D \end{cases}$$

where D is a nonempty and closed subset of \mathbb{R}^m and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous vector valued function, that is $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ with $x \in D$.

The following class of generalized quasiconcave functions can now be introduced.

Definition 6.1 A vector valued function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **extended strictly quasiconcave** if for all pairs (a, b) in D^2 with $f(a) \neq f(b)$ and $f(a), f(b) \in \text{Max}(f(D), V_p)$, there exists some $c \in D$ with $f(c) \neq f(a), f(b)$, such that for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it results:

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(c) &> \min\{f_k(a), f_k(b)\} & \text{if } f_k(a) \neq f_k(b), \\ f_k(c) &\geq f_k(a) = f_k(b) & \text{if } f_k(a) = f_k(b). \end{aligned}$$

The following corollary follows from Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 6.1 *Let D be a nonempty and closed set and $f : D \rightarrow E$ be a continuous vector valued function. If f is extended strictly quasiconcave and $\text{Max}(f(D), V_p)$ is nonempty and V_p -compact then $\text{Max}(f(D), V_p)$ is a nonempty connected set.*

Proof We just have to apply Theorem 5.1 to the set $f(D)$. Since f is continuous and D is nonempty and closed then $f(D)$ is nonempty and closed. For the hypothesis we now just have to verify that the set $Y_M = \text{Max}(f(D), V_p) - V_p$ is strictly quasiconcave.

Let $y, z \in Y_M = \text{Max}(f(D), V_p) - V_p$, $y \neq z$. Then, there exist $v, w \in V_p$ and $a, b \in D$ such that $y + v = f(a) \in \text{Max}(f(D), V_p)$ and $z + w = f(b) \in \text{Max}(f(D), V_p)$. If $f(a) \neq f(b)$ the result follows from the extended strict quasiconcavity of f . Otherwise, that is if $f(a) = f(b)$, then $y, z \in f(a) - V_p \subseteq Y_M$ hence we just have to choose $c = (y + z)/2$ thanks to the convexity of V_p . \square

Let us finally provide the example of an extended strictly quasiconcave function having a connected efficient frontier in the image space (note, for the sake of completeness, that the provided function is not componentwise strictly quasiconcave).

Example 6.1 Let us consider the bicriteria function $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$ with $x \in D = \mathbb{R}$, where:

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ x & \text{for } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} -x+1 & \text{for } x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Since f is an extended strictly quasiconcave function and its image $f(D)$ is the one described in Example 6.1, the assumptions of Corollary 6.1 hold. Hence, its efficient frontier results to be connected. \square

References

- [1] Benoist, J., Connectedness of the Efficient Set for the Strictly Quasiconcave Sets, *Journal of Optimiz. Theory and Applic.*, vol.96, n. 3 pp. 627-654, 1998.
- [2] Benoist, J., Connectedness of the Efficient Set for Strictly Quasiconcave Sets, Fascicule du Laboratoire d'Arithmétique, Calcul Formel et Optimisation, n.4, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Limoges, Limoges, France, 1996.
- [3] Cambini, A. and L. Martein, On the Existence of the Efficient Points, *Journal of Optimization*, vol.28, pp.283-290, 1994.
- [4] Choo, E.U., Schaible, S. and Chew, K.P., Connectedness of the Efficient Set in Tree Criteria Quasiconcave Programming, *Cahier du CERO*, vol.27, pp. 213-220, 1985.
- [5] Daniilidis A., Hadjisavvas N. and S. Schaible, Connectedness of the Efficient Set for the tree Objective Quasiconcave Maximization Problems, *Journal of Optimiz. Theory and Applic.*, vol.93, n. 3 pp. 517-524, 1997.

- [6] Hu, Y.D. and Sun, E.J., Connectedness of the Efficient Set in Strictly Quasiconcave Vector Maximization, *Journal of Optimiz. Theory and Applic.*, vol.78, pp. 613-622, 1993.
- [7] Luc D.T., Connectedness of the Efficient Point Sets in Quasiconcave Vector Maximization, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol.122, pp.346-354, 1987.
- [8] Luc D.T., Theory of Vector Optimization, *Springer-Verlag*, 1989.
- [9] Marchi, A., Sulla connessione della frontiera efficiente di un problema bicriteria, *Proceed. of XIV Convegno AMASES* (in italian), pp. 439-449, 1995.
- [10] Martein, L., On the Bicriteria Maximization Problem, *Generalized Convexity and Fractional Programming with Economic Application, Lecture notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems*, ed S.Komlosi, T.Rapsak, S.Schaible, vol.405, pp. 27-35, 1990.
- [11] Schaible, S., Bicriteria Quasiconcave Programs, *Cahier du CERO*, vol.25, pp. 93-101, 1983.
- [12] Sun, E.J., Connectedness of the Efficient Set in Strictly Quasiconcave Vector Minimization Problems, *Journal of Optimiz. Theory and Applic.*, vol.89, pp. 475-481, 1996.
- [13] Warburton, A.R., Quasiconcave Vector Maximization: Connectedness of the Set of Pareto-Optimal and Weak Pareto-Optimal Alternative, *Journal of Optimiz. Theory and Applic.*, vol.40, pp. 537-557, 1983.

Elenco dei report pubblicati

Anno: 1987

- n. 1 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Some Optimality Conditions in Vector Optimization
- n. 2 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein - S.Schaibel, On Maximizing a Sum of Ratios
- n. 3 Giuliano Gasparotto, On the Charnes-Cooper Transformation in linear Fractional Programming.
- n. 4 Alberto Cambini, Non-linear separation Theorems, Duality and Optimality
- n. 5 Giovanni Boletto, Indicizzazione parziale: aspetti metodologici e riflessi economici
- n. 6 Alberto Cambini - Claudio Sodini, On Parametric Linear Fractional Programming
- n. 7 Alberto Bonaguidi, Alcuni aspetti meno noti delle migrazioni in Italia
- n. 8 Laura Martein - S. Schaible, On Solving a Linear Program with one Quadratic Constraint

Anno: 1988

- n. 9 Ester Lari, Alcune osservazioni sull'equazione funzionale $\varnothing(x,y,z)=\varnothing(\varnothing(x,y,t),t,z)$
- n. 10 F. Bartiaux, Une étude par ménage des migrations des personnes âgées: comparaison des résultats pour l'Italie et les Etats-Unis
- n. 11 Giovanni Boletto, Metodi di scomposizione del tasso di inflazione
- n. 12 Claudio Sodini, A New Algorithm for the Strictly Convex Quadratic Programming Problem
- n. 13 Laura Martein, On Generating the Set of all Efficient Points of a Bicriteria Fractional Problem
- n. 14 Laura Martein, Applicazioni della programmazione frazionaria nel campo economico-finanziario
- n. 15 Laura Martein, On the Bicriteria Maximization Problem
- n. 16 Paolo Manca, Un prototipo di sistema esperto per la consulenza finanziaria rivolta ai piccoli risparmiatori
- n. 17 Paolo Manca, Operazioni Finanziarie di Soper e Operazioni di puro Investimento secondo Teichroew-Robichek-Montalbano
- n. 18 Paolo Carraresi - Claudio Sodini, A k - Shortest Path Approach to the Minimum Cost Matching Problem.
- n. 19 Odo Barsotti - Marco Bottai, Sistemi gravitazionali e fasi di transazione della crescita Demografica
- n. 20 Giovanni Boletto, Metodi di scomposizione dell'inflazione aggregata : recenti sviluppi.
- n. 21 Marc Termote - Alberto Bonaguidi, Multiregional Stable Population as a Tool for Short-term Demographic Analysis
- n. 22 Marco Bottai, Storie familiari e storie migratorie: un'indagine in Italia
- n. 23 Maria Francesca Romano - Marco Marchi, Problemi connessi con la disomogeneità dei gruppi sottoposti a sorveglianza statistico-epidemiologica.
- n. 24 Franca Orsi, Un approccio logico ai problemi di scelta finanziaria.

Anno: 1989

- n. 25 Vincenzo Bruno, Attrazione ed entropia.
- n. 26 Giorgio Giorgi - S. Mititelu, Invexity in nonsmooth Programming.
- n. 28 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Equivalence in linear fractional programming.

Anno: 1990

- n. 27 Vincenzo Bruno, Lineamenti econometrici dell'evoluzione del reddito nazionale in relazione ad altri fenomeni economici
- n. 29 Odo Barsotti - Marco Bottai - Marco Costa, Centralità e potenziale demografico per l'analisi dei comportamenti demografici: il caso della Toscana
- n. 30 Anna Marchi, A sequential method for a bicriteria problem arising in portfolio selection theory.
- n. 31 Marco Bottai, Mobilità locale e pianificazione territoriale.
- n. 32 Anna Marchi, Solving a quadratic fractional program by means of a complementarity approach
- n. 33 Anna Marchi, Sulla relazione tra un problema bicriteria e un problema frazionario.

Anno: 1991

- n. 34 Enrico Gori, Variabili latenti e "self-selection" nella valutazione dei processi formativi.
- n. 35 Piero Manfredi - E. Salinelli, About an interactive model for sexual Populations.
- n. 36 Giorgio Giorgi, Alcuni aspetti matematici del modello di sraffa a produzione semplice
- n. 37 Alberto Cambini - S.Schaibl - Claudio Sodini, Parametric linear fractional programming for an unbounded feasible Region.
- n. 38 I.Emke - Pouloupoulos - V.Gozàlves Pérez - Odo Barsotti - Laura Lecchini, International migration to northern Mediterranean countries the cases of Greece, Spain and Italy.
- n. 39 Giuliano Gasparotto, A LP code implementation
- n. 40 Riccardo Cambini, Un problema di programmazione quadratica nella costituzione di capitale.
- n. 41 Gilberto Ghilardi, Stime ed errori campionari nell'indagine ISTAT sulle forze di lavoro.
- n. 42 Vincenzo Bruno, Alcuni valori medi, variabilità paretiana ed entropia.
- n. 43 Giovanni Boletto, Gli effetti del trascinarsi dei prezzi sulle misure dell'inflazione: aspetti metodologici
- n. 44 P. Paolicchi, Gli abbandoni nell'università: modelli interpretativi.
- n. 45 Maria Francesca Romano, Da un archivio amministrativo a un archivio statistico: una proposta metodologica per i dati degli studenti universitari.
- n. 46 Maria Francesca Romano, Criteri di scelta delle variabili nei modelli MDS: un'applicazione sulla popolazione studentesca di Pisa.
- n. 47 Odo Barsotti - Laura Lecchini, Les parcours migratoires en fonction de la nationalité. Le cas de l'Italie.
- n. 48 Vincenzo Bruno, Indicatori statistici ed evoluzione demografica, economica e sociale delle province toscane.
- n. 49 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Tangent cones in optimization.
- n. 50 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Optimality conditions in vector and scalar optimization: a unified approach.

Anno: 1992

- n. 51 Gilberto Ghilardi, Elementi di uno schema di campionamento areale per alcune rilevazioni ufficiali in Italia.
- n. 52 Paolo Manca, Investimenti e finanziamenti generalizzati.
- n. 53 Laura Lecchini - Odo Barsotti, Le rôle des immigrés extra- communautaires dans le marché du travail

Elenco dei report pubblicati

- n. 54 Riccardo Cambini, Alcune condizioni di ottimalità relative ad un insieme stellato.
- n. 55 Gilberto Ghilardi, Uno schema di campionamento areale per le rilevazioni sulle famiglie in Italia.
- n. 56 Riccardo Cambini, Studio di una classe di problemi non lineari: un metodo sequenziale.
- n. 57 Riccardo Cambini, Una nota sulle possibili estensioni a funzioni vettoriali di significative classi di funzioni concavo-generalizzate.
- n. 58 Alberto Bonaguidi - Valerio Terra Abrami, Metropolitan aging transition and metropolitan redistribution of the elderly in Italy.
- n. 59 Odo Barsotti - Laura Lecchini, A comparison of male and female migration strategies: the cases of African and Filipino Migrants to Italy.
- n. 60 Gilberto Ghilardi, Un modello logit per lo studio del fenomeno delle nuove imprese.
- n. 61 S. Schaible, Generalized monotonicity.
- n. 62 Vincenzo Bruno, Dell'elasticità in economia e dell'incertezza statistica.
- n. 63 Laura Martein, Alcune classi di funzioni concave generalizzate nell'ottimizzazione vettoriale
- n. 64 Anna Marchi, On the relationships between bicriteria problems and non-linear programming problems.
- n. 65 Giovanni Boletto, Considerazioni metodologiche sul concetto di elasticità prefissata.
- n. 66 Laura Martein, Soluzione efficienti e condizioni di ottimalità nell'ottimizzazione vettoriale.

Anno: 1993

- n. 67 Maria Francesca Romano, Le rilevazioni ufficiali ISTAT della popolazione universitaria: problemi e definizioni alternative.
- n. 68 Marco Bottai - Odo Barsotti, La ricerca "Spazio Utilizzato" Obiettivi e primi risultati.
- n. 69 Marco Bottai - F. Bartiaux, Composizione familiare e mobilità delle persone anziane. Una analisi regionale.
- n. 70 Anna Marchi - Claudio Sodini, An algorithm for a non-differentiable non-linear fractional programming problem.
- n. 71 Claudio Sodini - S. Schaible, An finite algorithm for generalized linear multiplicative programming.
- n. 72 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, An approach to optimality conditions in vector and scalar optimization.
- n. 73 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Generalized concavity and optimality conditions in vector and scalar optimization.
- n. 74 Riccardo Cambini, Alcune nuove classi di funzioni concavo-generalizzate.

Anno: 1994

- n. 75 Alberto Cambini - Anna Marchi - Laura Martein, On nonlinear scalarization in vector optimization.
- n. 76 Maria Francesca Romano - Giovanna Nencioni, Analisi delle carriere degli studenti immatricolati dal 1980 al 1982.
- n. 77 Gilberto Ghilardi, Indici statistici della congiuntura.
- n. 78 Riccardo Cambini, Condizioni di efficienza locale nella ottimizzazione vettoriale.
- n. 79 Odo Barsotti - Marco Bottai, Funzioni di utilizzazione dello spazio.
- n. 80 Vincenzo Bruno, Alcuni aspetti dinamici della popolazione dei comuni della Toscana, distinti per ampiezza demografica e per classi di urbanità e di ruralità.
- n. 81 Giovanni Boletto, I numeri indici del potere d'acquisto della moneta.
- n. 82 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein - Riccardo Cambini, Some optimality conditions in multiobjective programming.
- n. 83 S. Schaible, Fractional programming with sum of ratios.
- n. 84 Stefan Tigan - I.M. Stancu-Minasian, The minimum-risk approach for continuous time linear-fractional programming.
- n. 85 Vasile Preda - I.M. Stancu-Minasian, On duality for multiobjective mathematical programming of n-set.
- n. 86 Vasile Preda - I.M. Stancu-Minasian - Anton Batatorescu, Optimality and duality in nonlinear programming involving semilocally preinvex and related functions.

Anno: 1995

- n. 87 Elena Melis, Una nota storica sulla programmazione lineare: un problema di Kantorovich rivisto alla luce del problema degli zeri.
- n. 88 Vincenzo Bruno, Mobilità territoriale dell'Italia e di tre Regioni tipiche: Lombardia, Toscana, Sicilia.
- n. 89 Antonio Cortese, Bibliografia sulla presenza straniera in Italia
- n. 90 Riccardo Cambini, Funzioni scalari affini generalizzate.
- n. 91 Piero Manfredi - Fabio Tarini, Modelli epidemiologici: teoria e simulazione. (I)
- n. 92 Marco Bottai - Maria Caputo - Laura Lecchini, The "OLIVAR" survey. Methodology and quality.
- n. 93 Laura Lecchini - Donatella Marsiglia - Marco Bottai, Old people and social network.
- n. 94 Gilberto Ghilardi, Uno studio empirico sul confronto tra alcuni indici statistici della congiuntura.
- n. 95 Vincenzo Bruno, Il traffico nei porti italiani negli anni recenti.
- n. 96 Alberto Cambini - Anna Marchi - Laura Martein - S. Schaible, An analysis of the falk-palocsay algorithm.
- n. 97 Alberto Cambini - Laura Carosi, Sulla esistenza di elementi massimali.

Anno: 1996

- n. 98 Riccardo Cambini - S. Komiłòsi, Generalized concavity and generalized monotonicity concepts for vector valued.
- n. 99 Riccardo Cambini, Second order optimality conditions in the image space.
- n. 100 Vincenzo Bruno, La stagionalità delle correnti di navigazione marittima.
- n. 101 Eugene Maurice Cleur, A comparison of alternative discrete approximations of the Cox - Ingersoll - Ross model.
- n. 102 Gilberto Ghilardi, Sul calcolo del rapporto di concentrazione dei Gini.
- n. 103 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein - Riccardo Cambini, A new approach to second order optimality conditions in vector optimization.
- n. 104 Fausto Gozzi, Alcune osservazioni sull'immunizzazione semideterministica.
- n. 105 Emilio Barucci - Fausto Gozzi, Innovation and capital accumulation in a vintage capital model an infinite dimensional control approach.
- n. 106 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein - I.M. Stancu-Minasian, A survey of bicriteria fractional problems.
- n. 107 Luciano Fanti - Piero Manfredi, Viscosità dei salari, offerta di lavoro endogena e ciclo.
- n. 108 Piero Manfredi - Luciano Fanti, Ciclo di vita di nuovi prodotti: modellistica non lineare.
- n. 109 Piero Manfredi, Crescita con ciclo, gestazione dei piani di investimento ed effetti.
- n. 110 Luciano Fanti - Piero Manfredi, Un modello "classico" di ciclo con crescita ed offerta di lavoro endogena.
- n. 111 Anna Marchi, On the connectedness of the efficient frontier : sets without local maxima.

Elenco dei report pubblicati

- n. 112 Riccardo Cambini, Generalized concavity for bicriteria functions.
- n. 113 Vincenzo Bruno, Variazioni dinamiche (1971-1981-1991) dei fenomeni demografici dei comuni (urbani e rurali) della Lombardia, in relazione ad alcune caratteristiche di mobilità territoriale.

Anno: 1997

- n. 114 Piero Manfredi - Fabio Tarini - J.R. Williams - A. Carducci - B. Casini, Infectious diseases: epidemiology, mathematical models, and immunization policies.
- n. 115 Eugene Maurice Cleur - Piero Manfredi, One dimensional SDE models, low order numerical methods and simulation based estimation: a comparison of alternative estimators.
- n. 116 Luciano Fantì - Piero Manfredi, Point stability versus orbital stability (or instability): remarks on policy implications in classical growth cycle model.
- n. 117 Piero Manfredi - Francesco Billari, transition into adulthood, marriage, and timing of life in a stable population framework.
- n. 118 Laura Carosi, Una nota sul concetto di estremo superiore di insiemi ordinati da coni convessi.
- n. 119 Laura Lecchini - Donatella Marsiglia, Reti sociali degli anziani: selezione e qualità delle relazioni.
- n. 120 Piero Manfredi - Luciano Fantì, Gestation lags and efficiency wage mechanisms in a goodwin type growth model.
- n. 121 G. Rivellini, La metodologia statistica multilevel come possibile strumento per lo studio delle interazioni tra il comportamento procreativo individuale e il contesto
- n. 122 Laura Carosi, Una nota sugli insiemi C-limitati e L-limitati.
- n. 123 Laura Carosi, Sull'estremo superiore di una funzione lineare fratta ristretta ad un insieme chiuso e illimitato.
- n. 124 Piero Manfredi, A demographic framework for the evaluation of the impact of imported infectious diseases.
- n. 125 Alessandro Valentini, Caio della fecondità ed immigrazione: scenari e considerazioni sul caso italiano.
- n. 126 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Second order optimality conditions.

Anno: 1998

- n. 127 Piero Manfredi and Alessandro Valentini, Populations with below replacement fertility: theoretical considerations and scenarios from the Italian laboratory.
- n. 128 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein - E. Moretti, Programmazione frazionaria e problemi bicriteria.
- n. 129 Emilio Barucci - Fausto Gozzi - Andrej Swiech, Incentive compatibility constraints and dynamic programming in continuous time.

Anno: 1999

- n. 130 Alessandro Valentini, Impatto delle immigrazioni sulla popolazione italiana: confronto tra scenari alternativi.
- n. 131 K. Iglicka - Odo Barsotti - Laura Lecchini, Recent development of migrations from Poland to Europe with a special emphasis on Italy K. Iglicka - Le Migrazioni est-ovest: le unioni miste in Italia
- n. 132 Alessandro Valentini, Proiezioni demografiche multiregionali a due sessi, con immigrazioni internazionali e vincoli di consistenza.
- n. 133 Fabio Antonelli - Emilio Barucci - Maria Elvira Mancino, Backward-forward stochastic differential utility: existence, consumption and equilibrium analysis.
- n. 134 Emilio Barucci - Maria Elvira Mancino, Asset pricing with endogenous aspirations.
- n. 135 Eugene Maurice Cleur, Estimating a class of diffusion models: an evaluation of the effects of sampled discrete observations.
- n. 136 Luciano Fantì - Piero Manfredi, Labour supply, time delays, and demoeconomic oscillations in a solow-type growth model.
- n. 137 Emilio Barucci - Sergio Polidoro - Vincenzo Vespi, Some results on partial differential equations and Asian options.
- n. 138 Emilio Barucci - Maria Elvira Mancino, Hedging european contingent claims in a Markovian incomplete market.
- n. 139 Alessandro Valentini, L'applicazione del modello multiregionale-multistato alla popolazione in Italia mediante l'utilizzo del Lipro: procedura di adattamento dei dati e particolarità tecniche del programma.
- n. 140 I.M. Stancu-Minasian, optimality conditions and duality in fractional programming-involving semilocally preinvex and related functions.
- n. 141 Alessandro Valentini, Proiezioni demografiche con algoritmi di consistenza per la popolazione in Italia nel periodo 1997-2142: presentazione dei risultati e confronto con metodologie di stima alternative.
- n. 142 Laura Carosi, Competitive equilibria with money and restricted participation.
- n. 143 Laura Carosi, Monetary policy and Pareto improvability in a financial economy with restricted participation
- n. 144 Bruno Cheli, Misurare il benessere e lo sviluppo dai paradossi del Pil a misure di benessere economico sostenibile, con uno sguardo allo sviluppo umano
- n. 145 Bruno Cheli - Laura Lecchini - Lucio Masserini, The old people's perception of well-being: the role of material and non material resources
- n. 146 Eugene Maurice Cleur, Maximum likelihood estimation of one-dimensional stochastic differential equation models from discrete data: some computational results
- n. 147 Alessandro Valentini - Francesco Billari - Piero Manfredi, Utilizzi empirici di modelli multistato continui con durate multiple
- n. 148 Francesco Billari - Piero Manfredi - Alberto Bonaguidi - Alessandro Valentini, Transition into adulthood: its macro-demographic consequences in a multistate stable population framework
- n. 149 Francesco Billari - Piero Manfredi - Alessandro Valentini, Becoming Adult and its Macro-Demographic Impact: Multistate Stable Population Theory and an Application to Italy
- n. 150 Alessandro Valentini, Le previsioni demografiche in presenza di immigrazioni: confronto tra modelli alternativi e loro utilizzo empirico ai fini della valutazione dell'equilibrio nel sistema pensionistico
- n. 151 Emilio Barucci - Roberto Monte, Diffusion processes for asset prices under bounded rationality
- n. 152 Emilio Barucci - P. Cianchi - L. Landi - A. Lombardi, Reti neurali e analisi delle serie storiche: un modello per la previsione del BTP future
- n. 153 Alberto Cambini - Laura Carosi - Laura Martein, On the supremum in fractional programming
- n. 154 Riccardo Cambini - Laura Martein, First and second order characterizations of a class of pseudoconcave vector functions
- n. 155 Piero Manfredi and Luciano Fantì, Embedding population dynamics in macro-economic models. The case of the goodwin's growth cycle
- n. 156 Laura Lecchini e Odo Barsotti, Migrazioni dei preti dalla Polonia in Italia
- n. 157 Vincenzo Bruno, Analisi dei prezzi, in Italia dal 1975 in poi
- n. 158 Vincenzo Bruno, Analisi del commercio al minuto in Italia
- n. 159 Vincenzo Bruno, Aspetti ciclici della liquidità bancaria, dal 1971 in poi
- n. 160 Anna Marchi, A separation theorem in alternative theorems and vector optimization

Elenco dei report pubblicati

Anno: 2000

- n. 161 Piero Manfredi and Luciano Fanti, Labour supply, population dynamics and persistent oscillations in a Goodwin-type growth cycle model
- n. 162 Luciano Fanti and Piero Manfredi, Neo-classical labour market dynamics and chaos (and the Phillips curve revisited)
- n. 163 Piero Manfredi - and Luciano Fanti, Detection of Hopf bifurcations in continuous-time macro- economic models, with an application to reducible delay-systems.
- n. 164 Fabio Antonelli - Emilio Barucci, The Dynamics of pareto allocations with stochastic differential utility
- n. 165 Eugene M. Cleur, Computing maximum likelihood estimates of a class of One-Dimensional stochastic differential equation models from discrete Date*
- n. 166 Eugene M. Cleur, Estimating the drift parameter in diffusion processes more efficiently at discrete times: a role of indirect estimation
- n. 167 Emilio Barucci - Vincenzo Valori, Forecasting the forecasts of others e la Politica di Inflation targeting
- n. 168 A. Cambini - L. Martein, First and second order optimality conditions in vector optimization
- n. 169 A. Marchi, Theorems of the Alternative by way of Separation Theorems.
- n. 170 Emilio Barucci - Maria Elvira Mancino, Asset Pricing and Diversification with Partially Exchangeable random Variables
- n. 171 Piero Manfredi - Luciano Fanti, Long Term Effects of the Efficiency Wage Hypothesis in Goodwin-Type Economies.
- n. 172 Piero Manfredi - Luciano Fanti, Long Term Effects of the Efficiency wage Hypothesis in Goodwin-type Economies: a reply.
- n. 173 Luciano Fanti, Innovazione Finanziaria e Domanda di Moneta in un Modello dinamico IS-LM con Accumulazione.
- n. 174 P.Manfredi, A.Bonaccorsi, A.Secchi, Social Heterogeneities in Classical New Product Diffusion Models. I: "External" and "Internal" Models.
- n. 175 Piero Manfredi - Ernesto Salinelli, Modelli per formazione di coppie e modelli di Dinamica familiare.
- n. 176 P.Manfredi, E. Salinelli, A.Melegaro, A.Secchi, Long term Interference Between Demography and Epidemiology: the case of tuberculosis
- n. 177 Piero Manfredi - Ernesto Salinelli, Toward the Development of an Age Structure Teory for Family Dynamics I: General Frame.
- n. 178 Piero Manfredi - Luciano Fanti, Population heterogeneities, nonlinear oscillations and chaos in some Goodwin-type demo-economic models Paper to be presented at the: Second workshop on "nonlinear demography" Max Planck Institute for demographic Research Rostock, Germany, May 31-June 2, 2
- n. 179 E. Barucci - M.E. Mancini - Roberto Renò, Volatility Estimation via Fourier Analysis
- n. 180 Riccardo Cambini, Minimum Principle Type Optimality Conditions
- n. 181 E. Barucci, M. Giuli, R. Monte, Asset Prices under Bounded Rationality and Noise Trading
- n. 182 A. Cambini, D.T.Luc, L.Martein, Order Preserving Transformations and application.
- n. 183 Vincenzo Bruno, Variazioni dinamiche (1971-1981-1991) dei fenomeni demografici dei comuni urbani e rurali della Sicilia, in relazione ad alcune caratteristiche di mobilità territoriale.
- n. 184 F.Antonelli, E.Barucci, M.E.Mancino, Asset Pricing with a Backward-Forward Stochastic Differential Utility
- n. 185 Riccardo Cambini - Laura Carosi, Coercivity Concepts and Recession Functions in Constrained Problems
- n. 186 John R. Williams, Piero Manfredi, The pre-vaccination dynamics of measles in Italy: estimating levels of under-reporting of measles cases
- n. 187 Piero Manfredi, John R. Williams, To what extent can inter-regional migration perturb local endemic patterns? Estimating numbers of measles cases in the Italian regions
- n. 188 Laura Carosi, Johannes Jahn, Laura Martein, On The Connections between Semidefinite Optimization and Vector Optimization
- n. 189 Alberto Cambini, Jean-Pierre Crouzeix, Laura Martein, On the Pseudoconvexity of a Quadratic Fractional Function
- n. 190 Riccardo Cambini - Claudio Sodini, A finite Algorithm for a Particular d.c. Quadratic Programming Problem.
- n. 191 Riccardo Cambini - Laura Carosi, Pseudoconvexity of a class of Quadratic Fractional Functions.
- n. 192 Laura Carosi, A note on endogenous restricted participation on financial markets: an existence result.
- n. 193 Emilio Barucci - Roberto Monte - Roberto Renò, Asset Price Anomalies under Bounded Rationality.
- n. 194 Emilio Barucci - Roberto Renò, A Note on volatility estimate-forecast with GARCH models.
- n. 195 Bruno Chelli, Sulla misura del benessere economico: i paradossi del PIL e le possibili correzioni in chiave etica e sostenibile, con uno spunto per l'analisi della povertà
- n. 196 M.Bottai, M.Bottai, N. Salvati, M.Toigo, Le proiezioni demografiche con il programma Nostradamus. (Applicazione all'area pisana)
- n. 197 A. Lemmi - B. Chelli - B. Mazzoli, La misura della povertà multidimensionale: aspetti metodologici e analisi della realtà italiana alla metà degli anni '90
- n. 198 C.R. Bector - Riccardo Cambini, Generalized B-invex vector valued functions
- n. 199 Luciano Fanti - Piero Manfredi, The workers' resistance to wage cuts is not necessarily detrimental for the economy: the case of a Goodwin's growth model with endogenous population.
- n. 200 Emilio Barucci - Roberto Renò, On Measuring volatility of diffusion processes with high frequency data
- n. 201 Piero Manfredi - Luciano Fanti, Demographic transition and balanced growth

Anno: 2001

- n. 202 E.Barucci - M. E. Mancini - E. Vannucci, Asset Pricing, Diversification and Risk Ordering with Partially Exchangeable random Variables
- n. 203 E. Barucci - R. Renò - E. Vannucci, Executive Stock Options Evaluation.
- n. 204 Odo Barsotti - Moreno Toigo, Dimensioni delle rimesse e variabili esplicative: un'indagine sulla collettività marocchina immigrata nella Toscana Occidentale
- n. 205 Vincenzo Bruno, I Consumi voluttuari, nell'ultimo trentennio, in Italia
- n. 206 Michele Longo, The monopolist choice of innovation adoption: A regular-singular stochastic control problem
- n. 207 Michele Longo, The competitive choice of innovation adoption: A finite-fuel singular stochastic control problem.
- n. 208 Riccardo Cambini - Laura Carosi, On the pseudoaffinity of a class of quadratic fractional functions
- n. 209 Riccardo Cambini - Claudio Sodini, A Finite Algorithm for a Class of Non Linear Multiplicative Programs.
- n. 210 Alberto Cambini - Dinh The Luc - Laura Martein, A method for calculating subdifferential Convex vector functions
- n. 211 Alberto Cambini - Laura Martein, Pseudolinearity in scalar and vector optimization.
- n. 212 Riccardo Cambini, Necessary Optimality Conditions in Vector Optimization.
- n. 213 Riccardo Cambini - Laura Carosi, On generalized convexity of quadratic fractional functions.
- n. 214 Riccardo Cambini - Claudio Sodini, A note on a particular quadratic programming problem.
- n. 215 Michele Longo - Vincenzo Valori, Existence end stability of equilibria in OLG models under adaptive expectations.

Elenco dei report pubblicati

- n. 216 Luciano Fanti - Piero Manfredi, Population, unemployment and economic growth cycles: a further explanatory perspective
- n. 217 J.R. Williams, P. Manfredi, S. Salmaso, M. Ciofi, Heterogeneity in regional notification patterns and its impact on aggregate national case notification data: the example of measles in Italy.
- n. 218 Anna Marchi, On the connectedness of the efficient frontier: sets without local efficient maxima
- n. 219 Laura Lecchini - Odo Barsotti, Les disparités territoriales au Maroc au travers d'une optique de genre.

Anno: 2002

- n. 220 Gilberto Ghilardi - Nicola Orsini, Sull'uso dei modelli statistici lineari nella valutazione dei sistemi formativi.
- n. 221 Andrea Mercatanti, Un'analisi descrittiva dei laureati dell'Università di Pisa
- n. 222 E. Barucci - C. Impenna - R. Renò, The Italian Overnight Market: microstructure effects, the martingale hypothesis and the payment system.
- n. 223 E. Barucci, P. Malliavin, M.E. Mancino, R. Renò, A. Thalmaier, The Price-volatility feedback rate: an implementable mathematical indicator of market stability.
- n. 224 Andrea Mercatanti, Missing at random in randomized experiments with imperfect compliance
- n. 225 Andrea Mercatanti, Effetto dell'uso di carte Bancomat e carte di Credito sulla liquidità familiare: una valutazione empirica
- n. 226 Piero Manfredi - John R. Williams, Population decline and population waves: their impact upon epidemic patterns and morbidity rates for childhood infectious diseases. Measles in Italy as an example.
- n. 227 Piero Manfredi - Marta Ciofi degli Atti, La geografia pre-vaccinale del morbillo in Italia. I. Comportamenti di contatto e sforzi necessari all'eliminazione: predizioni dal modello base delle malattie prevenibili da vaccino.
- n. 228 I.M. Stancu-Minasian, Optimality Conditions and Duality in Fractional Programming Involving Semilocally Preinvex and Related
- n. 229 Nicola Salvati, Un software applicativo per un'analisi di dati sui marchi genetici (Genetic Markers)
- n. 230 Piero Manfredi, J. R. Williams, E. M. Cleur, S. Salmaso, M. Ciofi, The pre-vaccination regional landscape of measles in Italy: contact patterns and related amount of needed eradication efforts (and the "EURO" conjecture)
- n. 231 Andrea Mercatanti, I tempi di laurea presso l'Università di Pisa: un'applicazione dei modelli di durata in tempo discreto
- n. 232 Andrea Mercatanti, The weak version of the exclusion restriction in causal effects estimation: a simulation study
- n. 233 Riccardo Cambini and Laura Carosi, Duality in multiobjective optimization problems with set constraints
- n. 234 Riccardo Cambini and Claudio Sodini, Decomposition methods for nonconvex quadratic programs
- n. 235 R. Cambini and L. Carosi and S. Schaible, Duality in fractional optimization problems with set constraints
- n. 236 Anna Marchi, On the mix-efficient points

Anno: 2003

- n. 237 Emanuele Vannucci, The valuation of unit linked policies with minimal return guarantees under symmetric and asymmetric information hypotheses
- n. 238 John R Williams - Piero Manfredi, Ageing populations and childhood infections: the potential impact on epidemic patterns and morbidity
- n. 239 Bruno Cheli, Errata Corrige del Manuale delle Impronte Ecologiche (2002) ed alcuni utili chiarimenti
- n. 240 Alessandra Petrucci-Nicola Salvati-Monica Pratesi, Stimatore Combinato r Correlazione Spaziale nella Stima per Piccole Aree
- n. 241 Riccardo Cambini - Laura Carosi, Mixed Type Duality for Multiobjective Optimization Problems with set constraints
- n. 242 O. Barsotti, L. Lecchini, F. Benassi, Foreigners from central and eastern European countries in Italy: current and future perspectives of eu enlargement
- n. 243 A. Cambini - L. Martein - S. Schaible, Pseudoconvexity under the Charnes-Cooper transformation
- n. 244 Eugene M. Cleur, Piero Manfredi, and John R. William, The pre-and post-Vaccination regional dynamics of measles in Italy: Insights from time series analysis

Anno: 2004

- n. 245 Emilio Barucci - Jury Falini, Determinants of Corporate Governance in Italy: Path dependence or convergence?
- n. 246 R. Cambini - A. Marchi, A note on the connectedness of the efficient frontier